NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | November 24, 1941 | Subscription Three Dollars Per Year

AMERICAN LABOR, STAND BY LEWIS!

(Read Page 3)

Britain and U. S. A. Want Finland for a New Battle Front

(Story on Page 8)

What Is Ahead of Us? —Not Social Justice!

(See Page 4)

Congress Junks Neutrality; Chooses Road to War

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ A JEWISH CHRONOLOGY (Part Two) ★ AMERICA FIRST AND 1942 ELECTIONS

Dinner Chat

EIGHT YEARS of war — with bankruptcy and repudiation at home, together with regimentation under the slave state that an all-out modern war now entails—faces the United States, by a mandate of 18 votes!

Another day's delay, with the stampede away from the Roosevelt war makers' hysteria gaining hourly momentum, might have saved the nation; or it might not.

The war makers acted fast; they gave it all they had! The President broke another precedent, with his letter to Speaker Rayburn. The Secretary of State, himself a veteran in cloakroom and corridor strategy, put on the pressure; while lesser wheelhorses of the New Deal threw in the scare and made promises which—when faced with the prospect of delivery on them—must in turn scare them.

The firm stand of those Congressmen who had the courage to resist this tremendous pressure is to be commended. Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, along with the rest of the Republic, will remember them. The nation outside of Washington has hardly any appreciation what all-out administration pressure can mean.

The result, of course, is a different vote than that when the bill to arm merchant shipping was originally before the House. As a consequence, the tabulation which SOCIAL JUSTICE carries elsewhere in this edition shows a considerable switch in the line-up. To keep your record complete and accurate, the list published this week should be filed with the earlier recorded vote.

* * *

There is no longer any question that Congress knew it was voting for war; the debate both for and against the neutrality repeal admitted as much. But the repealers justified their war vote as a sacred duty to go get Hitler. We heard the same arguments in 1917, only then "der Kaiser" was the victim.

If any pro-war Congressman had any conception of what America's effort to destroy Hitler and Nazi-ism will be like here at home, neither his remarks nor his vote gave any evidence of it.

An American crusade to destroy Hitler's new order, considering the confusion in Washington, would be ludicrous if it were not so utterly tragic. We still have a long way to go, here in America, before we are ready to begin any eight-year war of aggression against anybody's "new order."

Confusion in Washington

MR. WALTER DAVENPORT, political commentator for *Collier's*, gives us a glimpse of New Deal confusion in the current number of his national magazine.

"A long-drawn-out chore was keeping us in Washington," he writes. "We prefer our Washington in small doses. Anyway, we were complaining. We were overheard by a gentleman from Chicago, Illinois—a responsible fellow who for many years has been making paper boxes and cartons in large quantities and with great success.

"'So you'd like to get out of here,' said he. Obviously he was having some trouble controlling himself. 'I've listened to you. Now you listen to me. Twenty weeks ago I got a contract to make paper cartons for the United States Navy. The Navy has designed its own carton. It's pretty much like any other carton but the seams are different. I hesitated to take the contract, saying that there was no carton machinery capable of making Navy seams. The Navy told me to go ahead and make a machine and how much would it cost. I said it would cost five hundred dollars. The Navy snapped its fingers, told me to go ahead, get a priority order from O.P.M. for the steel and get busy.

"'My dear sir, you're complaining. I have been here twenty weeks trying to get somebody in O.P.M. to sign an order upon the steel company releasing to me the small amount of steel I'll need to build a five-hundred-dollar machine to make Navy seams on a couple million dollars' worth of cartons. My dear friend, will you be so good as to shut your mouth and let somebody complain who knows how.'

* * *

"So we shut up and pretty soon struck up a conversation with a man who was complaining that the peanuts the house served with drinks were stale. He was an automotive engineer. After finishing his peanut grumbles he stopped fooling. He told us that he'd just got back from a proving ground where the Army had been testing jeeps and command cars equipped with an almost revolutionary idea in tires and compensating springs or snubbers. The combination was the product of a whole board of engineers and tire manufacturers, but the Army just wasn't sure.

"The tests conducted cross-country, over hill, dale, meadow, creek and bog land were more than satisfactory. Generals were rubbing their hands all over the place. But the Army insisted on another test — racing the revolutionarily equipped cars around a small circular pad of concrete for eight hours.

"'So I told the Army,' said our engineer, 'that I'd heard of countries going to war straight out and I'd heard of countries going to war zigzag, but that I'd never heard of anybody going to war in circles.'

"So we left him but not before seeing a gentleman at the next table lean over, tap him on the shoulder, and say: 'Oh, you haven't, hey? Well, just stick around, my friend!'"

We Told 'Em, but Now They Know It, Too

HIYA, FOLKS:

Boy, o boy, hav' y' ever made me a happy dog—I sed happy, not a gay dog; but I sure feel lik' I c'd be one.

When I cam' t' th' offus t'day t' do my kolum I found th' place hummin' 'n th' whol' bevy uv beauties up t' their pretty ears in wurk 'n whatdya think, it wuz mostly subskripshuns!

Did I ever yipe! That meens plenty uv bones f'r my dinnur plate 'n it also meens sum mighty useful informashun frum week t' week f'r all o' ya.

We sertunly wellkum all th' new readers t' our klub. We reely didunt meen t' be so exklusive. SOCIAL JUSTICE h's been goin' almost six years now 'n we've been tellin' ya how good we are about evry week 'n our frens hav' done all they can t' get th' good news around but one after anuther th' new reeders rite in t' tell us they've just discuver'd SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Why didunt sumbuddy tell 'em how good it wuz? Well, Bruther, we did but it jus' didunt meen enything till y' prooved 't f'r yerself.

* * *

Y' know young ez I am I find life's a lot lik' that. My big bruther, Pal, has tried his best t' tell me things out uv his bigger 'sperience but it seems his wizdum jus' don't register until I find out f'r myself 'n learn it th' hard way.

Well th' Amurikun peepul 'r shure findin' out th' hard way, too —but they ain't seen nothin' yet. I hurd Pal's Boss say th' other day that now they're reeely askin' f'r it!

Now ther's a fren who knows what I meen. He's been tryin' for fifteen years r' so t' tell th' peepul what's what but they jus' want t' find out f'r themselfs.

All right, if that's th' way they want ut I'll jus' keep t' my own dog house, but y' can't say SOCIAL JUSTICE didunt tell ya.

* * *

I hurd th' gurls in th' cirkulashun offus say that th' 'spress strike's all over but that th' railroad's be shut down between now 'n Christmas. I haven't got that one figgured out. Course, Pal 'n sum other dogs I know cud carry a few papers if th' trains stop runnin' but, gosh, I don't even know 250,000 dogs!

Deadline Daddy sed sumthin' about y' can't cross a brij till y' get t' th' rivver, but who's talkin' enything about a rivver? Sumtimes I don't get that fella.

Don't let what I sed about th' bizzy offus stop ya from sendin' in those Christmas gif' subskripshuns. We hav' sum speshul gurls t' look after that so th' gift notus 'n th' paper will reach your fren in time to be put in his Christmas sox.

Well, thanx a millyun. Keep up th' good wurk, 'n I'll be seein' ya.

—Pete

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly. Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 8 November 24, 1941 No. 21

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan.

Comment

American Labor, Stand By Lewis!

WHO organized mass production labor? John L. Lewis.

Who was responsible for higher wages and better working conditions? John L. Lewis.

Ninety per cent of America's mass production workers, when asked these two questions, will answer as above.

A few years ago, Franklin D. Roosevelt and John L. Lewis were close friends. Both men, seemingly, championed labor.

Mr. Roosevelt championed labor simply to maintain his New Dealers and himself in office.

John L. Lewis championed labor not for himself or for any personal benefit derived therefrom, but for the welfare of the disorganized masses.

And 90 per cent of the laboring men of America swore by Franklin D. Roosevelt, because they did not see through the thin veil behind which he hid his ulterior motives.

But now, all that is changed under the white light of publicity. The *Wagner Act* whose passage was encouraged by the President is now disparaged by the President as instanced in the captive coal mine controversy.

The mass production labor union, once the recipient of Roosevelt's benediction, is now the object of his antagonism, as evidenced in the Currier Lumber case and through the words of Sidney Hillman, the renegade C.I.O.-er.

For political purposes it was astute on the part of F.D.R. to coddle the C.I.O. in days gone by. It was popular for him to cast aspersions upon capital and to trample down prostrate industry and big business because neither capital nor industry nor big business had as many votes as were possessed by mass production labor.

And so, riding on a wave of labor popularity, F.D.R. had himself nominated and re-elected for a third term. Quickly, however, he declared an emergency which made him a sort of dictator. And, contemptuously, he began to turn, not only on John Lewis who saw behind the veil of deceit, but also upon the millions of mass production laborers who did not see behind it.

The emergency meant that Roosevelt patched up his quarrels with J. P. Morgan, with U. S. Steel, with General Motors, with the du Pont industries—with the "princes of privilege"—as he once termed them.

Were we not at war as far as the President was concerned? Certainly we were. And he knew that the laboring class who dig iron ore from the ground, who mold it into cannon and shape it into ships had to be regimented to do the dying—now as always.

As a result, the princes of privilege were brought back to the throne room at the White House and labor was put in the dog house.

* * *

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not condone any attempt on the part of the C.I.O. to establish a one-class form of government in these United States.

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not applaud the election of Red leadership in certain units of the C.I.O.

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not approve the ethics of sit-down strike-ism which is equivalent to seizure of propertyism.

On these points we have strenuously expressed ourselves in days gone by.

BUT: Labor is more sinned against than sinning at this juncture. Labor is "on the side of the angels" and Mr. Roosevelt is on the side of the "sons of the devil."

If the C.I.O. entertained any vague ideas about establishing a one-class form of government, Roosevelt has doubly entertained such an idea as he is endeavoring to perpetuate himself as dictator and his New Dealers in office.

If the C.I.O. played along with Red leadership and Red organizers, Roosevelt and his New Dealers recognized Red Russia and supports many hundreds of Reds on the Government payroll.

If the C.I.O. was guilty of sit-downism and seizure of propertyism, Roosevelt and his New Dealers were guilty of assaulting and assailing the *Constitution of the United States* and of getting us into an undeclared war, which will lead to economic bankruptcy and political slavery.

* * *

Why did John L. Lewis organize the mass production labor of America?

Why did the millions of workers in our factories and mills affiliate themselves with the C.I.O.?

The answer is simple: Only to get a decent share of the profits of business; only to have a respectable voice in the affairs of America; only to share in the plenty which we were capable of producing.

And why does Roosevelt, at this late hour, spurn John Lewis, thumb his nose at the *Wagner Act*, and draw the red herring of Hillmanism across the trail of America?

That answer is simple: He wants to support his kind and his class upon their international thrones throughout the world. He is more interested in saving the British Empire than he is in giving a square deal to the American working men.

It is true—as was predicted two years ago by Father Coughlin—that we are now in an era of bigger and better strikes.

Why not? The President of the United States *has gone on strike against the people of the United States* in his undeclared war with all the lavish expenditures associated with lend-lease.

What has happened to rents, to food, to fuel and to clothing? Because of Roosevelt's policies, the prices of these commodities have advanced and the profits to capital have increased.

Why shouldn't the laborers go on strike to get more wages and more profits to meet the higher cost of living?

No publication has been more caustic in its criticism of the C.I.O. than has been SOCIAL JUSTICE. Not that we are or ever were against unionism; not that we are against the basic principle of C.I.O.-ism, but we had courage to point out the errors and mistakes made by certain labor leaders who, as we recognize, were pioneering a new path for the United States of America.

It is one thing to be against a principle. It is another thing to be against an error of its execution.

All in all, the C.I.O. is an archangel Gabriel compared to the Beelzebubs and Belials of Washington, D. C.,—the internationalist capital of the world.

Now is the time for labor to stand by John L. Lewis and Philip Murray who have proven themselves to be true friends of labor, even though they may have made mistakes.

Now is the time to abandon the trickster of the White House whose propagandists have set forth to smear Lewis, to unseat Murray and to regiment the factory workers of America in the name of defense.

* * *

As a concluding thought in this comment, let us bring to the mind of the laboring class who purchased H.O.L.C. homes, this fact: On the 24th anniversary of the Bolshevik revolution, President Roosevelt loaned a billion dollars to Russia at no interest — and with little hope of the principal being returned.

What happens to your homes if you do not pay the interest? The New Deal Gov-

(Continued on Page 4)

Index to Comment

American Labor, Stand by John Lewis! ..... Page 3

What Is Ahead of Us? — Not Social Justice ..... Page 4

Willy-Nilly Congressmen ..... Page 5

Editorials: No Lend-Lease for Home-Owners; Psychiatry and the War-Mongers; The British-American Bund ..... Page 6

America First—and 1942; Congress Meets a Challenge; Benedict Arnold and the Cavalry of St. George ..... Page 7

Behind the War: Turkey on a Hot Spot; Finland, New Battlefront; Need A.E.F. to Assure Red Victory ..... Page 8

The Word 'Russia' Is Not Accurate; Vodka New National Drink ..... Page 9

Birkhead Rides Again! ..... Page 10

More on Lord Halifax ..... Page 11

Mr. Joe Davies Changes His Mind; Britain —100 Years Ago; Note for Michiganders ..... Page 12

Not Apathy, But Alarm, Mrs. Roosevelt; The Art of Lying ..... Page 13

How Congress Voted on Neutrality ..... Page 16

A Jewish Chronology (Part 2) ..... Page 19

The Shooting Congress ..... Page 20

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

ernment seizes the American working man's home, proving thereby it is more solicitous for the Bolsheviks than for the American laborers.

Stand by Lewis and Murray despite their faults; for their faults are as virtues compared to the errors perpetrated in the name of democracy, of liberty and of Americanism by F. D. Roosevelt!

Let labor recognize that Roosevelt aims to outlaw strikes in the name of defense.

Roosevelt's mediation board will settle all differences, to be sure, between capital and labor. But the settlement will be in favor of capital.

Meanwhile, the New Deal will not put a ceiling on prices commensurate with the ceiling on wages.

Meanwhile, Morgenthau will not tax the profiteers in proportion as he plans to tax the pay envelopes of labor.

If labor loses this battle by sitting back complacently while John L. Lewis and Philip Murray are smeared, dishonored and disavowed, labor will wake up to find itself regimented in the name of "democracy" and New Dealism.

It is either John L. Lewis or John P. Morgan.

Take your choice today. Don't be whiners tomorrow.

Dare They Go On?

THE DESPERATE speed with which the President and his aides were compelled to act, in putting pressure on Congressmen for the Neutrality repeal, showed plainly that the politicians knew the peril to their pro-war scheme.

They knew the vote would be close. They knew that if Congress voted the way the people wanted them to vote, instead of the way the British and war-mongers wanted, the *Neutrality Act* would still be intact on the law books today.

Will Mr. Roosevelt dare to go much further?

The fact is that the people of America are not with you, Mr. President.

The fathers of America — whose wages have not kept pace with rising living costs and whose insufficient pay is to be taxed more and more for the war bill—are not with you.

The mothers of America—who will be asked to carve their household budget to the bare necessities of life and then, also, to give their soldier sons—are not with you.

The young men of America, who will be asked to die for the British empire in some foreign land, are not with you.

The young women, who are asked to give up their sweethearts and the hope of a home of their own, are not with you.

The generation of the unborn, whose lives will be regimented under a slave state because of your war to conquer the world, will not be with you.

Dare you go on in defiance of them all?

What Is Ahead of Us? —Not Social Justice

ROBERT L. NORTON, writing in the *Boston Sunday Post*, (Nov. 9) said:

"In the last conversation which we had with the late Senator Borah of Idaho at Poland Spring, Me., *he said Mr. Roosevelt had told him that before leaving the presidency he intended effecting a political as well as a social revolution.*

"This country, said the Senator quoting the President, must divide for lack of other terms between Conservatives and Liberals, and party labels are of no great consequence.

"These remarks come to mind today in view of the feeling among millions of people that the whole character and political development of the government is to be changed. Among many it excites fear and apprehension. And with a great many others, the drive toward a Socialistic State is hailed with pleasure.

"In an extraordinarily uncertain world, nobody can foresee with any degree of exactness what will happen. But certain things are obvious. It is clear, for instance, that our entire economy is to witness a revolutionary change.

"In the first place, an enormous burden of taxation is to be placed upon the people. This will have the effect of restricting greatly what is described as our Middle Class, the people who have reasonably permanent jobs and homes, but whose incomes remain standardized in the war effort.

"The millions who have been on relief or in impecunious circumstances will not be much better off, since the Government, seeking revenue for its immense war expenditures and trying to ward off inflation, will take the greater part of their newfound prosperity.

"The rich will be stripped of the greater part of their incomes up to the point of confiscation.

"Little business, which has been the backbone of this country and which, in normal times, furnishes about 60 per cent of our production, will be severely handicapped, if not wiped out to a considerable extent. And in its place will come great *cartels* or combinations of industry.

"The Government is already planning for billions for improvements after the war which are intended to absorb unemployment and put people on the public payroll. But the prospects are that for a long period the country will remain on a war footing, more particularly if we are to police the world and distribute the four freedoms and observe the *Atlantic Pact* agreed upon by Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Churchill.

"Since sufficient revenue is out of the question to meet the gigantic expenditures, existing and contemplated, there will be no balance between income and outgo. It is therefore fair to assume that the United States will continue to be run on deficits and borrowing with a consequent imperiling of its credit."

* * *

Perhaps Mr. Norton's observations appear far-fetched to the average credulous citizen who believes everything Mr. Roosevelt says about "democracy" and the American way of life.

Our thought on the matter is that the American way of life, as we oldsters knew it, has gone forever. Big business and little business will be goose-stepped under strict Government supervision.

Representative government as we know it will pass.

Free speech, as represented in the daily press, will be free only in the sense that it reflects what is agreeable to the one-party form of government in power.

Hundreds of newspapers now operating will be forced to go out of business because advertising, now dependent upon competition, will no longer be needed.

The one-party form of government will be a financial party. We do not mean that our local bankers will be the top men in this organization. On the contrary, the political bosses, operating behind the scenes, will be the Rothschilds, the Morgans and their ilk.

As far as the Church is concerned, nothing will happen to hamper the conduct of divine services, although it will be difficult to maintain private church schools and impossible to use the pulpit for sermons designed to criticize government.

In fact, there are some who entertain the opinion that churches will be supported directly by the Government and that pastors will be paid by the Government. This last, so some clerics say, will be brought about as a result of the depression which most persons foresee to be in the offing.

* * *

It is worthwhile recollecting that neither the Churchill nor Roosevelt governments have had one word of criticism to say about the international bankers. Most analysts concede that they are fighting a war for the international bankers.

In a sense, it is a hypocritical war in that neither Roosevelt nor Churchill nor those behind him actually hope to defeat Adolf Hitler and subjugate Europe. Their main objective is to subjugate the English, American, Canadian, Australian and other nationals to put them on a bigger and better W.P.A. owned and operated by the Government.

If and when those days come about, a person will either starve or conform.

Indeed, affairs move rapidly. Before the Atlantic conference Roosevelt seemingly was more or less on the side of labor, hoping to establish a labor form of government. Since the Atlantic conference, it is evident that he has started to oppose labor.

Thus, he holds the whip hand over industry through priorities. He plans to hold the same whip hand over labor through the outlawry of strikes and wage determinations. The only group with whom Roosevelt is playing complacently is the international banker group. Even a blind man can see that.

* * *

For 1900 years the Christian Church has been instrumental, first, in teaching the principles of a supernatural life on the basis that man, by his own natural power, can neither save his immortal soul after death nor arrive at the goal of peace and prosperity before death.

For thirteen centuries, this program took root in a soil that had been watered by the blood of martyrs and the tears of poverty. Entire nations gladly accepted Christ as King and Christ's evangelical constitution as law. This result was obtained not so much by churchmen conforming to kings,

(Continued on Page 5)

Comment

(Continued from Page 4)

emperors and assemblages as by their opposing them when they persisted in clinging to the outmoded and disproven naturalistic programs of conquest.

Following the thirteenth century, when churchmen too often attempted to set themselves up as temporal rulers with all the accouterments of wealth gained by taxation and levies on the poor, a halt in the progress of Christ's conquest of the world was evident. Then came the revolution known as the Reformation. Then came the defection of entire nations, such as England, refusing to recognize the supernatural headship of Christ's vicar.

Then came the era of compromise which found Christians not only rendering to Caeser the things that are Caesar's but cojoining with Caesar in their efforts to sustain a purely naturalistic form of government.

For example, in our day many Christians are more concerned over being Democrat or Republican, New Dealer or anti-New Dealer than they are in being pro-Christ and opposed to Antichrist.

* * *

It is our observation that social justice is impossible for the masses except on the basis of Christianity. Social justice rests its entire structure upon the foundation of accepting Christ as King and Christ's supernatural program of life as the only program upon which society can move away from the quicksands of slavery, regimentation, greed and war.

That principle is not generally accepted by politicians. The notable exception is Salazar of Portugal.

Opposed to Salazar and the small confraternity of public servants who think as he thinks and plan as he plans, are "practical," naturalistic politicians who are striving to set the mad world aright by natural means.

Thus, Stalin, for all practical purposes says: "We have had the Christian religion operating in Russia for centuries. Observe what it failed to accomplish; observe the misery of the people. That must not go on forever. Therefore, if the people and rulers will not accept Christ and the supernatural religion, let us ostracize from our nation the Poor Man of Galilee and all those who follow Him. Let us regiment the people, taking freedom from them even to the extent that we will erase freedom of conscience, freedom of speech and freedom of political decision."

Confronted with this terrible decision, Germany's Hitler, hoping to save his own country, adopted with more *finesse* the same principles.

Recognizing that a naturalistic nation could not practice democratic freedom as our ancestors knew it and, at the same time, hold its own against a regimented nation like Germany or Russia, England chose to follow the same code of politics. So did the United States.

Both England and the United States, of course, dared not preach anti-democratic doctrines. Neither dared they preach that freedom and private enterprise were inefficient. Therefore, appealing to their people to arm themselves in order to fight a war against Naziism, both England and the United States adopted the same basic social principles approved by the Nazis and the Bolsheviks.

Today, therefore, the Church and Christian churchmen stand in Pilate's hall. Already they have been apprehended, betrayed by some Judas with a kiss. Already the Church and churchmen are being questioned on the nature of truth, as was Christ. Already the high priests of the new form of life have plotted to rid the world of Christ and His followers unless they will conform with regimentation, communization and the subserviency to the State.

This is not social justice. It is communized injustice. It is exactly what we in America can expect to happen some tomorrow just as it has happened in Russia, in Germany and in England some yesterday.

* * *

Now is no time to cry over spilt milk; to cast aspersions upon leaders who made mistakes. Now is the time to express ourselves boldly enough though for doing it we become martyrs.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, pursuing the principle upon which it is founded, looked towards class co-operation as one of its goals. Today, the entire nation is becoming indoctrinated with class hatred.

SOCIAL JUSTICE looked forward to the establishment of an economic distributive system which would give plenty for all, now that the problems of production have been solved satisfactorily. The new system looks forward to a distributive system of barely sufficient for all because it still clings to one of the worst social evils of paganism which is usury. On the basis of usury it will abstract from all pay envelopes tax upon tax to support the state and the few commissars who control it.

SOCIAL JUSTICE looked forward to the re-establishment of the principle that the State exists for the people; that the State should say that no one class would exploit another class; that the State would insist in protecting the principle of Christianity that whatever we do to the least of our little ones we do to Christ.

The new system arising in the United States of America is bent on establishing the principle that the people exist for the State.

Logically, we should emulate Caesar Augustus who had the courage to nominate himself god and the Roman Empire as heaven.

"What is ahead of us?" is a question which can not be answered flippantly or categorically except we meditate upon the inspired pages of the *Apocalypse*.

Meanwhile, this is no time for disappointed Christians to assuage their sorrow by contemplating rebellion from the church; by joining the mob in the cry of "Away with Christ! Give us Barabbas!"

These are days when, briefly, we must take our stand at the foot of the cross. These are days for all of us to recollect the Scriptural passage: *"What doth it profit a man if he should gain the whole world and lose his soul?"*

We are not defeatists. In the black hour when our spiritual forefathers emerged from the catacombs to crimson the golden sands of the Flavian Amphitheater with their blood, it appeared to casual observers that the Christian cause was doomed.

Forsooth, it was not doomed. It was only the Springtime of the glorious Summer that followed.

What we need today is martyrs!

What we need today is saints!

It has been remarked that a saint is a person who is most embarrassing to his contemporaries. That was true in the past. It should be true again in our day, for we need persons to embarrass those who are conformers.

Willy-Nilly Congressmen

IT WAS the vote of Senator Walter George, of Georgia, which gave the administration victory in the Foreign Relations Committee for the amendment to allow American ships to go into the war zones. Had he voted against reporting it, the amendment would have lost support. The Senator professes he did so in the interest of peace.

Yet, his words come back to haunt him. He said: "As plainly as I can, I have always stood against convoying vessels by the American fleet and will stand against convoying vessels by any unit of the American fleet until and unless the point shall come when I shall be willing to vote for war, because in my judgment, convoying would lead us into actual war."

Senator George must have been willing to vote for war because he supported the administration's policy of sending our ships into the war zones, convoyed by our fleet and accompanied by belligerent merchantmen.

* * *

On the House side of the battle, the voice of its Speaker, Sam Rayburn, comes back to haunt him. He, too, must favor war because on the floor of the House of Representatives, November 2, 1939, the then majority leader—speaking in behalf of the 1939 *Neutrality Act* — told of the great value of the provision which kept ships from war zones. He spoke with experience. Yet, two years later, he advocates and works for the passage of legislation which, he said, would change the country from peace to war in 60 days.

His word are: "What would be the reaction in a great conservative country like yours and mine, in which, as I stated, 99 per cent of the people are praying that they may stay out of this war, if an American ship were sunk and 100, 200, or 500 innocent boys were sent to the bottom of the ocean? I tremble to contemplate what might be the reaction of this country to the sinking of ships and the destruction of lives while we assert our right to ship any place in the world.

"I saw this country, and I was a Representative in the Congress of the United States at that time, when there occurred the loss of lives and the violation of rights we then claimed—I saw this country converted from a peace to a war mind in 60 days."

The Speaker did see just that. He now advocates repeating the same things in 1941 that he says caused the war in 1917.

He may wipe from his sight that terrible memory but he cannot wipe from the *Congressional Record* the fact that he knew he was voting for legislation which will cost the lives of hundreds of thousands of boys.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

No Lend-Lease for Home-Owners

AMERICAN newspaper readers were shocked by the headlines:

"F.D.R. Lends Reds a Billion"

"Huge Credit to Be Free of Interest; Repayment to Start 5 Years After End of War!"

This was tough medicine for Americans who have lost their homes to the H.O.L.C. due to inability to meet their payments. The man without work, who can't get relief because of "lack of funds," just can't seem to understand it.

The American home owner knows that he wasn't given any loan "free of interest"; he had to pay 5 per cent, plus extras. And he didn't get to wait any five years.

Now, these hard-pressed home owners are skimping and skimping to pay their $10, $15 or $20 a month to the H.O.L.C.—so that the money can be turned over, interest-free, to Red Joe Stalin and his Soviet!

Some home owners have taken their pencils and started to figure. They know that the average H.O.L.C. loan was approximately $3,000. If that billion-dollar gift to the U.S.S.R. was credited to the home owners of the United States, 300,000 homes could be freed from Federal mortgage!

A few years ago, some recipients of H.O.L.C. aid asked Congress to reduce the interest. The Roosevelt administration advised them that it was impossible to "endanger the credit" of the country.

There is one freedom advocated by President Roosevelt that many Americans want to see here and now: that is, *freedom from want.*

They are wondering why an American President is more interested in giving it to Soviet Russia, the British Empire and other foreign lands, before we get it here.

Psychiatry and the War-Mongers

ACCORDING to a recently published News Letter, one of the remarks made by President Roosevelt to Wendell Willkie in their 75-minute conference, was that he "had been advised by friends to obtain the services of the country's leading psychiatrists to work out ways and means of influencing public opinion."

Our dictionary defines a psychiatrist as *"one who treats mental diseases; an alienist."*

They certainly cannot accuse the American people of suffering from at least one mental ailment—loss of memory. The people remember, all too well, the propaganda story of 1914-17 which took us into the World War.

This business of having psychiatrists check up on us is under the direction of the bureau headed by Colonel William Donovan. The work of his bureau is to "stir up the people to a war frenzy."

Words haven't done so. Ship sinkings haven't done so.

They just cannot believe that people "are right" who can watch the plotters turn on the thunder and lightning machine and not react.

They have been told by Harry Hopkins that the "people are too damned dumb" to understand.

And so they want an answer as to why the people are not joining their war crusade.

Recently, Mrs. John L. Whitehurst, president of the General Federation of Women's Clubs, announced in Washington that she had toured the West with her "Back-the-President" crusade and that the response of her audiences was "chilly."

She is sorry the war fever isn't at higher pitch. She blames it on a "Mid-Western feeling of safety, lack of knowledge of the defense program, partisanship and hatred of the New Deal, fear of British propaganda and influence of isolation committees."

This is a confession that the President's "scare" speech of bombing the Mid-West hasn't done its job.

The people do fear British propaganda because they remember the dire effect of such propaganda 25 short years ago.

Mrs. Whitehurst was right in her report. The Middlewest is chilly toward the proposal of getting into this war. She will find the rest of this great country—outside New York City and Washington, D. C.—also cold to the idea of another A.E.F.

That is why President Roosevelt, Willkie, Donovan and the others talk of turning to psychiatrists for an answer.

They could get their answer from the average man on the street. He will tell them plainly that the *American people do not want war.*

He might even go on to suggest that the psychiatrists put in a few profitable days in Washington checking up on their own employers. Just maybe, it isn't "the people" who are crazy.

The British-American 'Bund'

SENATOR BARKLEY has just admitted that the reason the Foreign Relations Committee has not reported out the bill to investigate the extent of propaganda (including British) being fed to the American people, is that the Government "has more important things to do."

The British-American "Bund"—as a recent letter to SOCIAL JUSTICE calls it—must not be investigated.

Facts might be unearthed; reports might be published; the "free" people of the United States might be influenced by these facts to shake off the spell cast over them at tremendous expense by the British-American bundists.

The American people might even feel resentment at being bribed by their own money, and deceived by their own elected leaders.

So, the American people must not be permitted to enjoy too much of the "four freedoms" promised to the rest of the world.

The British-American bund is a vast network. British "bundists" are available in most colleges, cocktail rooms, in "polite" society, in churches where the "nice and financially solid" congregate.

A British-American bundist is, usually, the sort of fellow who has been known to be a social climber, a worshipper of the moneyed set, a "sucker" for the intellectual fads of the day; one who believes —privately—in plutocracy, but who does not hesitate to shout publicly his belief in "democracy"; showing, in every test case, that his real desire is the "Hitlerization" of the common people.

British-American bundists, as we've suggested before, are tremblingly aware that the international money-power now dominates their domestic money-power. Any struggle between the two is about over; the immense gold pile at Fort Knox is significant of the proportions of the struggle.

The British-American bundist seems not to be concerned with the possibility, entertained by many real Americans, that the mass of exploited workers may rise up and throw off the yoke now imposed upon them in the guise of a crusade to help all the rest of the world.

Comment

America First—and 1942

NOTICE that members of the America First Committee intend to throw their weight into the 1942 Congressional elections was served in a resolution unanimously adopted by 227 chapter chairmen and delegates convening recently in Washington, D. C.

The resolution stated:

"We, the chairmen and delegates of 119 chapters of the America First Committee, representing millions of American citizens from coast to coast, and from North to South, do individually pledge ourselves to work and vote in the 1942 primaries and general election for every member of the Senate and House of Representatives, irrespective of party, who votes to retain the provisions of the present *Neutrality Act* which prohibit the entry of American flagships into combat areas or belligerent ports."

The delegates further pledged themselves to work and vote against the renomination and re-election in 1942 of those Congressmen who voted to repeal safety provision of the *Neutrality Act.*

The delegates also had heard former Gov. Philip LaFollette of Wisconsin promise that the "American Party" would band together in 1942 to prevent the "war party from establishing a 'fascist' dictatorship in America."

Congress Meets a Challenge

THE INTEGRITY of the United States Congress was challenged last week when a District of Columbia grand jury subpoenaed Representative Hamilton Fish in an Administration-directed inquiry into alleged "pro-Nazi" propaganda.

As the Executive and Legislative branches of the Government locked horns, the House of Representatives, utilizing its Constitutional prerogative, directed Congressman Fish not to respond to the court writ.

Representative Fish, long an outspoken foe of Marxist Communism, is also one of the isolationist leaders. Since the vote on repealing the *Neutrality Act* threatened to be close, administration leaders hoped to keep the Congressman from using his influence against it.

Mr. Fish, who is a Reserve Colonel, had been scheduled to leave, voluntarily, for a two-week period of service with the Army. This was postponed so that he might attend the House debate.

The grand jury subpoena was in connection with an inquiry into alleged misuse of the Congressional frank in distributing so-called foreign propaganda.

One of Fish's clerks had been indicted by the same grand jury about three weeks before after testimony regarding some bags of franked matter found in a Capitol Hill storeroom.

The opinion has been quite generally expressed in Washington that Mr. Fish was innocent, but that his clerk had been "framed" so that the powers that be might smear the isolationist Congressman.

* * *

Fish is represented by Attorney John J. O'Connor, former chairman of the House Rules Committee, the only Congressman to be defeated when the President undertook to liquidate his political foes during the 1938 campaign.

Mr. O'Connor sent the following telegram to Speaker Rayburn:

"For the purpose of inquiring about the disposition of some mailbags, containing only speeches of members made in Congress, a co-ordinate, and not superior, branch of the Government, the Executive, through its administrative arm, the Department of Justice, has caused a grand jury subpoena to be served on Representative Hamilton Fish of New York commanding his appearance on Wednesday (Nov. 12) when debate will start on the repeal of our neutrality.

"It is obvious a further attempt to smear and harass Congressman Fish and his colleagues who put America ahead of the British Empire.

"If the House of Representatives of the United States countenances such an outrageous intrusion, it will be, according to all precedents, for the first time in the history of our American Government.

"Such intimidation has many precedents in Germany, Russia and England."

With the House in session, Mr. Fish drew the subpoena from his pocket and rose to a question of personal privilege. He had the clerk read it and said: "I find that I am unable to comply with this summons without consent of the House, the privilege of the House being involved, I thereby submit the matter for consideration on this body."

House Majority Leader, John W. McCormack presented the resolution based on Congressional immunity from arrest based on Article 1, Section 6, of the *Constitution of the United States* which provides: "They (the Senators and Representatives) shall in all cases except treason, felony and breach of the peace, be privileged from arrest during their attendance at the session of their respective Houses, and in going to and returning from the same."

The House by a voice vote approved the resolution which referred the entire matter to the Judiciary Committee, headed by Congressman Sumners, to determine whether the District of Columbia Grand Jury had gone too far.

In the meantime, Mr. Fish found that in yielding the floor to McCormack for the resolution to be passed he was unable to gain recognition to read his speech against the *Neutrality Act.*

* * *

In the October 6th issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE, in a story entitled: *"Fascists Riot in British Prison,"* we quoted the fearless Parliamentarian, Mr. P. C. Loftus of the House of Commons as follows from the *Hansard,* (the British *Congressional Record*):

"Today, every safeguard of the ordinary citizen for personal freedom and for protection against executive tyranny is gone, and the only one that remains is the freedom of the Back Bench Member of Parliament."

In the British Parliament, party leaders occupy the front benches of the House of Commons. The "Back Bench" members are the rank and file. The leaders, of course, are always the mouthpieces and puppets of the Government Party in Power.

Thus we see the British House of Commons, the historic Mother of Parliaments, being deftly stripped of its prerogatives and rights, step by step, by the internationalists.

In the same manner, our own American Congress is being stripped of its integrity as a co-equal third branch of Government. Instead, the people's Representatives are being made subservient to the will of the Executive.

Your Congressmen are to be congratulated in standing up for the defense of their own rights.

This case is bigger than a grand jury summons for "Ham" Fish, whom the Roosevelts do not happen to like.

The framework of the Republic itself was at stake; Congress saw it and stood firm!

Benedict Arnold and the Cavalry of St. George

LAST WEEK this commentator glanced through a book entitled *"A Friend of the Queen,"* written by Paul Gaulot. He was so intrigued with the passage dealing with Benedict Arnold and his relations with the British that he deemed it fitting to pass it on to the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Here it is:

"General Arnold, one of the best officers in the American army, had turned traitor, and that his treachery was baffled before it had caused irreparable misfortune, was due solely to an extraordinary coincidence.

"When a general was to be appointed to the command at Westpoint, Washington thought he could not do better than to select Arnold, and he gave him the post with entire confidence. Westpoint, which was one of the most important positions—so important that it has been called 'the Gibraltar of America'—was a fort built upon a promontory that juts out into the Hudson, and commands the course of that river.

"This citadel, in the neighbourhood of New York, was the centre of resistance, and regarded as impregnable. The English knew what an advantage it would be for them if it should fall into their hands, and as they could not take it by force, they tried stratagem. They have always known how to use with skill the aptly-named 'cavalry of St. George,' and indeed what is this but putting in practice the time-honoured axiom of Philip of Macedon, that 'no place is impregnable if a mule laden with gold can be made to climb into it'."

In other words, the British bought their way into the soul of Benedict Arnold. Today, they are still expert in employing the "cavalry of St. George."

Today, they are still disciples of Philip of Macedon through the use of a mule laden with gold.

We are reminded that the New Deal's symbol is a mule—or donkey—or jackass.

Whatever it is, it was laden with gold to buy the hearts and souls and minds of the American people who, unconsciously, have become "Benedict Arnolds" by the millions.

Comment

Behind the War

Turkey on Spot, Spot Growing Hot!

THREE ITEMS in the recent war news from Europe indicate that the hour is at hand when Turkey will be compelled to take a definite position, as already predicted in these comments.

The first of the news dispatches concerns the progress of Hitler's Russian campaign, particularly, the major offensive against the Crimean peninsula in the Black Sea.

The second story comes from Bulgaria, Turkey's nearest European neighbor. Turkey and Bulgaria have been at odds for the last 25 years. Yet now, amazingly, inexplicably and suddenly, King Boris of Bulgaria feels impelled to proclaim publicly his affection for his "friendly Turkish neighbors." Bulgaria, of course, is under control of the Nazi army.

The third item is even more convincing: Berlin announces that a delegation of Turkish generals and diplomats, having been conducted on a personal tour of Germany's Russian front, where they were shown convincing evidences of Germany's war power, are at present in Berlin "conferring" with Hitler. Similar "conferences" have been a part of the preliminary Nazi technique in every conquest.

Der Fuehrer's decision, just now, is said to hang on the outcome of an incipient revolution in Roumania. Manliu, head of the Peasants' party, has protested to Antonescu the massacre of Roumanian soldiers in Germany's battle.

Manliu has demanded that Roumania fight to wrest Transylvania from Hungary and that Germany act to prevent any further spread between the cost of living and wages in Roumania.

* * *

As the Nazi high command develops its campaign against Crimea, the Donets Basin and the Caucasus, Hitler is going on to prepare politically to open up still another front against the British, by way of Turkey, Iraq, Iran, Palestine, Transylvania, to Suez and thence on to India.

The German strategy hopes to overwhelm the British with the unpleasant reality of war on three fronts, between the Caucasus and the Libyan desert, all simultaneously opening up in a great offensive.

Should this Nazi strategem succeed, the British will be compelled to divide forces between the Western and Eastern approaches to Suez while they and their Russian allies are assailed by way of the Caucasus.

A pair of secondary German thrusts via Italy to Tripoli, and via Spain to Spanish Morocco, would add still further to Britain's difficulties.

Incidentally, it is this plan—and not the fear of any "uprising" in Italy—that explains the massing of German troops at the Brenner Pass, and the transfer of Italian troops to the Eastern front.

* * *

Turkey is on the spot; and the spot is fast growing from warm to hot.

By the *Treaty of Angora*, signed on October 10, 1939, Turkey is committed to throw in her lot with the British, if she is attacked. Conversely, this treaty binds the British to go to Turkey's aid. But, the same treaty also provides that Turkey shall aid the British in case war develops in the Mediterranean.

Thus far, Turkey has studiously avoided fulfilling the last provision.

As matters stand, then, the Nazis have Turkey flanked on the North via Thrace, and on the West via Greece and the Aegean isles.

Conquest of the Crimea would establish Germany on still the Black Sea flank.

And in none of these areas can the British navy come to the aid of Turkey.

Would Make Finland New Battle Front

DESIGNATION of the port of Boston as chief shipping center for American consignments of war material to Soviet Russia, was followed within a few hours by an extraordinary debate in the British Parliament on the question of "adequate" war material to Stalin.

The gravity of the problem, as well as the urgency of the need, have been emphasized in both Britain and America.

Now, there are but three suitable ports available to receive shipments of military supplies of the kind Russia needs so badly.

One of these, Vladivostok in Siberia, has now been closed by orders of the United States Navy diverting all American shipping away from that area.

The second, by way of the Persian gulf overland to the Caspian Sea, is not equipped for handling large volume transit and will require long weeks of road construction and railroad building before it can function at all adequately.

Meanwhile, of course, the Germans are driving directly toward this supply line in the Nazis' offensive against the Donets basin in southern Russia.

This leaves Murmansk, in the Arctic above Archangel. This port is kept open during most of the Winter by Soviet ice breakers. But the White Sea freezes over every Winter. Furthermore, Murmansk is far to the north of the theater of military operations along the Central and southern Russian fronts.

The picture of practicable aid to Russia is not at all promising.

* * *

The British want Finland to act as a "neutral" transfer agent of British and American war materials en route to Soviet Russia. Britain, furthermore, hopes to land troops, planes, tanks and munitions in Finland, thus to open a "new front" as Russia has been demanding.

The landing of British troops, planes and supplies in Murmansk is inconvenient; so, Finland's ports would furnish the easy and ready answer.

Thus the designation of Boston, Mass., as the port of departure for Russian aid, indicates that the New Deal administration hopes to open up Finnish ports for Anglo-American shipping, through a pressured Finnish-Russian peace pact.

A year ago, America protested to Soviet Russia the unjust attack on Finland; now, America forces Finland to accept the peace of the aggressor.

* * *

Lord Beaverbrook, in his recent report to the British Parliament, quoted Stalin as referring to a scheme of "leapfrog industries" developed as part of the defense program of the Soviet.

As soon as one vital industrial center is seriously threatened, the Russian dictator explained, plant machinery is swiftly knocked down, carted off in huge convoys, and set up in other factories far to the rear of the battle front.

News cables recently referred to an instance of this where a vast industrial unit producing war equipment, was removed, re-established and production resumed at an increased tempo—all within one week!

But, obviously, this plan would encounter difficulties in the case of some kinds of mass production machinery, owing to the huge size of the machines. The fact that it is being used successfully with many types of complicated machine tools, testifies both to the resourcefulness and the determination of the Russians.

But Russia's mobile industry cannot produce war material at all sufficient for the Red armies — not even with the British, Canadian and American aid now pouring into Russia by way of Murmansk.

Quite in contrast with the German tactics of taking Turkish observers for a tour of the Russian war front, Soviet Russia does not permit American or British generals and technical observers to see behind the scenes of Soviet military or industrial battle fronts.

Need A.E.F. to Assure Red Victory

EVENTUALLY, an American Expeditionary Force will have to go over and do most of the work for the British in establishing "bridgeheads" on Hitler's conquered lands in Europe.

That is what the British are waiting for; they will never move to invade Europe until the Yanks arrive in large numbers. We believe that this is also something of what the plan-makers directing our own Government's course have in mind.

Mr. Roosevelt has said that those who urge him to attempt a negotiated peace with Hitler are asking him to become a "Benedict Arnold." The Roosevelt-Churchill "eight points" of their secretly-compacted "Atlantic charter" speak of disarming aggressors "after the final destruction of the Nazi tyranny."

This indicated plainly enough that President Roosevelt was planning nothing short

(Continued on Page 9)

Comment

(Continued from Page 8)

of all-out war for the annihilation of Hitler and Naziism.

A war of annihilation of Hitler and Naziism means that Europe must be invaded; more than that, Hitler and Naziism cannot be defeated, to say nothing of annihilation, without armed invasion or threats of such an armed invasion as would bring the frightened Hitler cringing to his knees.

The makings of any such "scare" are not at the moment apparent. Berlin must be "taken"; Nazi leaders killed, exiled or imprisoned; and Germany dismembered in some modern version of the *Treaty of Westphalia*, if the Hitler haters' dream is to materialize.

If the war results in that kind of a defeat, Germany would be prostrate and Soviet Russia will then be the greatest military power in either Europe or Asia.

Russia's armies will then be free to storm through Europe and Asia, promptly killing off merchants, bankers, business men, property owners, religious and other petty *bourgeoisie*.

The Communists' future conduct can be judged from their past record. The Red armies liquidated the petty *bourgeoisie* and suppressed religion in a part of Poland, and in the Baltic States of Lithuania, Latvia and Esthonia.

Russians in the Red armies murdered for Stalin; Russians liquidated religious and suppressed religion in the name of Communism; and Russians conquered and destroyed little countries, sovietizing them for the promotion of the Communists' world revolution

With Germany's post-war Communists in power, and Communist elements in control in all the Teutonic, Slavic and conquered countries, Europe and Asia will be sovietized even before the marching hordes of Red Russians arrive to pillage, imprison, exile and massacre.

The Word 'Russia' Is Not Accurate

"WHY IS IT that the press persistently refers to a non-existent State?" asks the *Patriot* of London.

" 'Russia,' 'Russian army,' 'Russian people' lavishly sprinkle the pages of the dailies, and the Archbishop of Canterbury says that Great Britain has 'something to learn from Russia.' while Msgr. Downey, the Catholic Archbishop of Liverpool, declared in a broadcast to America that the fact 'that Russia is now Communist is utterly irrelevant to the common stand which together we are making against aggression.'

"Throughout the country people are made to believe that it is indeed Russia that has risen like one man against the invader, and not her international rulers who are fighting desperately to preserve, at any cost, the power they usurped twenty-three years ago!

"This is a dangerous delusion for which people in this country may have to pay dearly.

"Officially, Russia, as the name of a State, was abolished many years ago and replaced by that of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (U.S.S.R.) or, in short: 'The Soviet Union.' This is the name whereby Soviet representatives invariably refer to their country.

"In his statement at the closing of the Three Power Conference in Moscow, M. Molotov repeatedly spoke of the Soviet Union—never of Russia; of the Soviet people, the peoples of the Soviet Union—but not of the Russian people! Similarly, M. Maisky always says the Soviet Union or the U.S.S.R.

"Actually, according to the Soviet constitution, the 'Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic' is but a part of the Soviet Union, which is formed by a number of territories and regions with a Russian population and a possibly larger number of autonomous Soviet Socialist Republics and regions inhabited by non-Russians.

"To call a Kirghiz, a Buriat, a Volga German—a 'Russian,' is as absurd as to call a Maltese, a Negro, or an Indian — an Englishman!

"The name of Russia was the official appellation of an empire which was also an agglomeration of nationalities and races, retaining their peculiar characteristics; yet Russia was a real entity, a great State with a settled purpose and a life of its own.

* * *

"Matters are different now, and the change wrought by the Communists has a deep significance. The U.S.S.R. is not restricted within the frontiers of some definite territory; it is liable to expansion by the admission of new Soviet Republics, or to curtailments by secessions.

"Had the Spanish civil war ended favorably for the Reds, a new Socialist Republic would have been included in the Soviet Union, since there is nothing in the constitution to prevent such a union. The same would apply to France or any other country. *Bolsheviks never viewed Russia otherwise than as a jumping-off ground for further conquests!*

"For close on a quarter of a century they have been experimenting upon her body, but never identified themselves with the Russian nation, except lately for purposes of propaganda, and that only to a certain extent.

"In this light it is easy to grasp why the inhuman policy of 'scorched earth' was so easily decreed: the Communists care naught for the peoples they enslave. If these peoples have ceased to be useful, they may as well die from starvation and exposure.

"It is time to understand the true fact: *should the Soviet front collapse, the German conqueror may subject Russia, but not Communism!* Its tentacles have entwined themselves around other nations, which as yet do not realize the menace.

"How foolish and short-sighted people may be, has been demonstrated by the happenings at the first night of Moussorgsky's *'Fair of Sorochintsy'*: Well-to-do persons in evening dress and in expensive seats hummed the tune of the *'Internationale,'* which ineptly was played before the opera —the revolutionary hymn inciting to destruction of the old order and World Revolution! The smart audience closed its eyes to the fact that were this revolution to materialize, they would be the first to be 'liquidated.'

* * *

"When talking persistently of Russia, the press should be consistent, and say St. Petersburg, Tver, Tsaritzin, etc., for Russia knew no Leningrad, Kalinin, Stalingrad, and so forth!

"The ease with which the Germans have moved so far into Russia seems to indicate that the people are not so keen upon fighting for the preservation of the Communist regime. It would be fatal were they to believe that Great Britain and the United States were in these critical days the allies not of the Russian people, but of the Communist tyranny which has imposed such untold sufferings upon them.

"In a notable article *'Russia or Bolshevism,'* in the *Weekly Review* of October 2nd, Sir John Pollack stressed this fact that Bolshevism is not Russia; that its much-vaunted achievements are mainly but the fulfillment of plans drawn up in pre-Revolution days. He recalls what is now conveniently forgotten: the great economic strides of the first years of the century, Stolypin's agrarian reforms, and so forth. 'The surprising thing is, perhaps, that the Soviet has accomplished so little.'

"He also makes some very outspoken comments upon the Red command, which was unable even to hold the line of the Dnieper, 'from 1½ to 3 miles wide,' and ends by a note of ominous warning, as to 'the gross political blunder' an alliance with the Soviet State would be, if it survives, since Communists have been and are 'with the solitary exception of Germany, our most dangerous enemy'."

Vodka New National Drink

SOME YEARS ago there was a question of what should be our national drink.

The late William Jennings Bryan favored grape juice. Now, we are being taught how to drink *vodka*.

Harry Hopkins, presidential errand boy to Joe Stalin and promoter of the "spend-elect" philosophy of government, gives the American people advice on how to drink this Russian mixture.

He explains in a recent magazine article:

"Vodka has authority. It is nothing for the amateur to trifle with. Drink it as an American or an Englishman takes whisky neat, and it will tear you apart! The thing to do is to spread a chunk of bread (and good bread it was) with caviar and, while, you're swallowing that, bolt your vodka. Don't play with that stuff! Eat while you're drinking it—something that will act as a shock absorber for it."

Now, the Americans can learn the art of drinking *vodka*. They can get ready for more Communist celebrations.

Harry says he had "a four-hour repast with inescapable cold fish, caviar and *vodka*" in Moscow with Stalin. It was a costly dinner for the Americans.

The question is: Did Joe get the loan before or after Harry drank the vodka?

Secret and Confidential

Birkhead Rides Again

THE REVEREND LEON BIRKHEAD, front man for a group of Jews, is doing some boasting these days through the medium of a confidential letter and report which he is mailing to his supporters.

He boasts it was he who persuaded Father Coughlin's superiors to put him off the air. He affirms that if he gets sufficient money from his supporters, he will put Lindbergh off the air.

We print Rev. Birkhead's letter because it will prove to be interesting reading, particularly to those living on the Atlantic seaboard.

The letter and confidential report were received by the party referred to as Mr. Blank on the 25th day of October—and was sent on Oct. 22nd from 83 Marlborough Rd., Brooklyn. The letterhead bears the name of:

Rabbi Samuel J. Levinson
83 Marlborough Road
Brooklyn

Oct. 22nd, 1941

"Dear Mr. Blank:

"In forwarding the enclosed report I ask you to keep it confidential and return it to me when you have read it. I am deeply impressed with the new technique the Committee has developed and applied—without fanfare or publicity—in New York neighborhoods infected by the Christian Front and similar groups. It is the only organization carrying on this type of work in our City.

"A single neighborhood meeting costs at least $25. It is imperative that literature, speakers and organization be increased a hundred-fold—for recent events have demonstrated that our domestic Fascists are redoubling their efforts to undermine democracy here. After reading this report I know you will want to help in every way you can.

"Sincerely,

"(Signed) Samuel J. Levinson

"P. S. The members of the Committee know I am writing you and will gladly furnish further information.

Mr. M. Blank
L. I. City

* * *

"Confidential

"Special Interim Report

" 'You gave the meeting an honest anti-Semitic feeling, and from now on people will realize that any organization for aid-to-Britain is Jew-controlled. This is the stuff that makes 'em squeal and from now on I want you to do the same in every kind of meeting. If you keep this up long enough and loud enough they're going to start yelling 'the Jews' right along with you. Pretty soon we're going to have the whole big audience rising up on its feet, and yelling at the top of their lungs, *'the Jews!'*

"Thus *Joseph McWilliams*, at a meeting of the American Destiny Party, praised his henchmen for their behaviour at an America First Rally held the night before in Mecca Temple

"Today this is the pro-Fascist line. In ever widening circles the idea which McWilliams expressed so brazenly is reaching out and finding new believers. Charles A. Lindbergh fully understood this when he spoke at the America First rally at Manhattan Center on April 23rd. 'We have been led towards war by a minority of our people,' he said. 'This minority has power; it has influence; it has a loud voice, but it does not represent the American people.' Only the most naive of the 10,000 jamming the hall and the 35,000 outside failed to get the point. By Sept. 12th Lindbergh no longer insinuated—on a nationwide hookup he blamed the Jews for getting us into war, and declared, 'their greatest danger to this country lies in their large ownership and influence in our motion picture, our press, our radio and our Government.'

"Following a calculated plan this point is being driven home to the citizens of New York at hundreds of street meetings, rallies and demonstrations. Half-a-dozen widely circulated publications carry the burden of this argument month after month; a well financed, disciplined membership marches to this theme. And in the key Coughlinite area of Brooklyn especially—home of the 'Christian' Front, John Cassidy, Rev. Edward Lodge Curran and the *Tablet*—will this appeal resound.

"This talk is backed by power. It is the propaganda 'line' of a mass movement—a closely knit, highly organized body, whose program is the destruction of democracy and the establishment of a dictatorship in the United States. The movement reached our city about 3 years ago.

* * *

"Hitler's technique applied at that time leaders of the 'Christian' front mobilizers, German Bund, and similar groups considered the time ripe for applying one of Hitler's doctrines—'He who controls the streets of Berlin rules Germany'—to the New York scene. They proceeded to take over key streets of the city: to hold curb meetings that were transformed into riots. They recruited among the police, fire department, utility workers, transport workers, worming their way into every artery of public safety. Cunningly, they concentrated on the crucial 2 per cent which, strategically located, can easily gain control of the city. Their speakers ridiculed the President, called for a boycott of Jews, preached dictatorship. Coughlin spurred them on. So completely were their outrages ignored by local press that even today few people (outside our Committee) are aware of what occurred. The chief technique used was *organized* anti-Semitism. Borough Hall, Flatbush and other residential areas, and even Times Square, were invaded by 'Stormtroopers.' A police station was besieged by 2,000; heads were broken. All in all, 210 arrests were made. In addition, our files for that period bulge with affidavits of assaults — ranging from the beating of William Aboamsky to the stabbing of Samuel Applebaum

"The experiment that pointed the way—

"Obviously, Nazi-Fascism was operating with *both* personal and group salesmanship. It was clear, therefore, that simple slogans and exposes would not stop it from gaining fighters for its army. The average churchgoing citizen had to be galvanized into action if democracy was to have its army also.

"To discover the most effective means of combating the Front a group of us conducted an experiment in the Irish-Catholic South Bronx, where the 'Christian' Front was particularly strong. Leading local citizens were gathered together. They undertook to distribute leaflets, sell magazines, and hold counter-meetings. Every effort was made to probe the mind of the average citizen. Literature distributed ranged from exposures of Father Coughlin to quotations from the Pope. Some material was discarded; other material proved its worth.

"Today, thanks to this pioneer group, *there is no longer any open 'Christian' Front activity in the South Bronx.* Above all, this experiment demonstrated a clear line of action. Organized opposition could check the Coughlinites! A field report of May 22nd, 1939, said: 'Our activity must be directed to organizing neighborhoods with groups and individuals participating. The minister, rabbi, priest, corner candy store man, the people patronizing the neighborhood movie, the merchant—these are the human material. These people truly resent un-Americanism. We must channelize this resentment into action.'

* * *

"The coordinating committee is organized. The experience gained was studied by a conference of representatives from 35 organizations, and in June, 1939, the *New York City Co-ordinating Committee for Democratic Action* was founded to carry on this work permanently. The Committee now includes spokesmen of 80 organizations, among them the New York Federation of Churches, Society of American Friends, Council for Democracy, New York Board of Jewish Ministers, and other citizens' groups.

"Speakers at the Conference felt that democracy's advocates were guilty of a common fault. Their literature was 'highbrow.' Tenement dwellers who frequented Cassidy's meetings were not shown the danger to their own self-interests. While the defense of democracy was conducted with large gestures, democracy's strength had not been organized in the Bronx. Greenpoint, Rockaway, Flatbush, etc. Embryo Fascists had been ignored or driven into the opposition by clumsy tactics. Most important, perhaps, advocates of democracy were biting Fascist bait: Waging a defensive war by attempts to refute obvious lies instead of taking the offensive and exposing the enemy's real objective.

"The functions of the *Co-ordinating Committee* were defined as follows:

"1) To organize neighborhood Committees in infected areas on a *non-sectarian* basis.

"2) To hold neighborhood street meetings addressed by leading Catholics, Protestants and Jews, and distribute persuasive literature (local meetings proved an unusually effective technique for they reached victims of Fascist propaganda without spreading that propaganda beyond the infected areas).

"3) To act as a clearing house for information on subversive activities.

"4) To co-ordinate the activities of organizations in this field, and to service local organizations with pro-democratic objectives.

* * *

"Two months after the Committee was formed 20 neighborhood groups were organized to engage the Fascists on their home

(Continued on Page 11)

(Continued from Page 10)

ground. 'Christian' Front activity was completely checked in Manhattanville, Washington Heights, South Bronx, and Kingsbridge. In Richmond Hill, Astoria, Bay Ridge and Rockaway, it dwindled. The *Flatbush Citizens' Committee*, organized by the *Co-ordinating Committee*, held a monster rally with 5,000 attending; John Cassidy, 'Christian' Front leader, circulated a leaflet in which he wailed that he would withdraw from this area — which he had terrorized—since his doctrine was no longer 'appreciated.'

"Local Committee memberships range from a few hundred to several thousand. A typical group, *We Americans of Yorkville*, organized as an answer to the coalition of the Bund and McWilliams, is truly representative of the community. On its executive board sit a church worker, a night watchman, the local banker, a settlement house director, a utility worker, a lawyer; its 1,500 members are of every faith. When McWilliams attempted to run for Congress these people distributed 200,000 pieces of literature, ran dozens of opposition meetings, climaxed with the largest one ever held in Yorkville, 4,500 strong.

"During the last Presidential campaign the fifth columnists saw a major opportunity to capture the election machinery of established political parties. Democratic and Republican leaders called on the Committee for assistance. In the case of the Republicans, daily, for six weeks, our representative checked the backgrounds of campaign workers against our files. About 75 assorted undesirables were discovered—including Paul Castorina, leader of the Italian Blackshirts who had wormed his way onto the County Executive Committee; Baron Gottfried von Weyditch of the Bund.

* * *

"In addition, the Committee maintains wide press contacts. While the *Co-ordinating Committee*, itself, does not seek publicity, the activities of local membership groups are stressed. Important advance information on developments in the domestic fascist front is relayed to influential editors—and the vital information eventually reaches thousands of people. Such was the story in the *World-Telegram* giving the plans of the Mobilizers to picket the Paulist Rectory—the first evidence that the 'Christian' Front might turn into anti-Catholic channels.

"Each week the Committee's publication, the *City Reporter*, reaches a selected list of newsmen, editors, public officials and government bureaus. The 20 most recent issues provided them with reports on 174 leading Hitlerites and 55 organizations they control. The chief editorial writer of a leading New York daily writes: 'I think the *City Reporter* is one of the most useful and best anti-Nazi information sheets. It is superb. I have made frequent use of it.' Likewise, city and Federal officials, including the Alien Squad and the F.B.I., have received voluminous material and have attested to the importance of the information.

"The *Co-ordinating Committee* has achieved a great deal in 2 years. The anti-democratic forces, however, under the stress of international developments, have broadened their strategy; they are winning a new mass following by concealing themselves behind respectable fronts. Decisive steps must be taken.

"Already the local scene has taken on a new aspect. Formerly it was easy to isolate the Fifth Columnist. Today, street rioters have merged with big-time appeasers and an air of respectability cloaks the Fascist Front. Unanimously Lindbergh is acclaimed the leader. Fronters take over America First Chapters. Frequently Stormtroopers flicker into action, darting out to give us an account such as appeared in the *New York Times* of June 22nd, 1941:

" 'Friday night a group of pro-Nazi hoodlums, German Bundists, Christian Mobilizers and Christian Frontists attacked an orderly street meeting of Fight for Freedom at 59th Street and Lexington Ave. These Stormtroopers tried to push two women off the platform. They tried to overturn a car. In disciplined formation they charged the crowd shouting *"We want Hitler and we want Lindbergh!"* '

"Now, with the war between Germany and Russia, we witness a resurgence of the attempt to label every move of democracy to strengthen itself a part of the 'Jew Communist plot to Bolshevize the world.' The pro-Fascists and their adherents plan to resume on a wider scale their riotous street activity, and also sow the seeds for the attainment of their objective—the destruction of democracy through organized anti-Semitism. They look forward to the day when they expect disillusion and economic dislocation will swing the tide to the Lindbergh camp.

* * *

"The *Co-ordinating Committee* is preparing to deal with the new situation. We know what *can* be done—and what *should* be done. The Committee has already developed techniques, leadership, membership, speakers, lines of communication—all the necessary forces for a crusade for democracy. These are the assets we will use to implement President Roosevelt's proclamation calling on 'loyal local leaders' to 'assure our internal security against foreign-directed subversion.'

"Immediately the *Co-ordinating Committee* must:

"1) Check the Fascist attempt to gain by infiltration a foothold in city government in the Fall elections. In Queens and Brooklyn, especially, the Committee will have to work intensively with church and civic leaders, posting them on the attempts of the "Christian' and 'Patriotic' fronts to capture political machinery.

"2) Expand in Harlem—rapidly becoming the most dangerous anti-Semitic tinder box in New York. Nightly pro-Hitler meetings in this community go unchallenged. Educational literature, information, and Negro support already in hand, must be quickly reinforced to avoid a repetition of the 1935 race riot.

"3) Organize and revitalize more neighborhood committees in infected areas. They alone need *millions* of worthwhile leaflets and publications.

"4) Train additional speakers, for outdoor and indoor work, who know the vernacular of the man in the street.

"It takes a skilled, full-time organizer several weeks to organize a neighborhood against the front and its followers—and it is of little value if by concentrating on one danger spot we allow the front to flourish elsewhere.

"Two dozen New York neighborhoods deserve high-gear activity today. It costs from $10 to $20 to distribute literature to several thousand people; $25 to $50 for a meeting in a neighborhood infected with anti-Semitic and anti-democratic propaganda; $100 for a neighborhood publication spreading the cause of tolerance and democracy.

"To fulfill the increased demand for the *City Reporter*, $50 per week is required. The solution to our problem lies in acquainting trustworthy individuals with our activities and our need for funds. We hope that this can be done *without undue expense or publicity* and we feel certain that no one who appreciates the danger here and understands what is taking place in Europe can fail to respond generously.

"Submitted by:

"New York City Co-ordinating Committee for Democratic Action

"2 West 43rd Street. Tel. Penn. 6-7948.

To:

"Advisory Board.

Rev. Robt. W. Searle, D.D., Chairman

Bishop Charles K. Gilbert, Episco. Diocese, New York.

Arthur J. Goldsmith, Investments.

Rabbi Samuel J. Levinson, Temple Beth Emeth, Brooklyn.

Henry G. McDonough, South Bronx, Comm. for Tolerance.

Geo. N. Shuster, Pres. Hunter College.

Rabbi David DeSola Pool, Sp. A. Port. Syn., New York City

Roderick Stephens, Pres. Bronx Board of Trade.

"Oct. 17th, 1941."

More on Lord Halifax

LEAVING Detroit, Lord Halifax, the British Ambassador, pitched his tent in Cleveland.

Among others whom he visited was Archbishop Joseph Schrembs.

The distinguished lords—one a lord of the Church; the other a lord of the Empire—spoke at length on the great Cardinal Mercier.

That was a safe subject. Perfectly safe.

In fact, Halifax's father was a friend of Mercier's and discussed with the great Cardinal ways and means to undo the harm accomplished by Henry VIII by bringing back the Anglican Church into the fold of the Roman Catholic Church.

When Mercier died, he willed his episcopal ring to Lord Halifax's father.

Another safe subject was a discussion engaged in by the two lords on the subject of Cardinal Hinsley. Both distinguished personages agreed that Hinsley was a remarkable prelate.

A third safe subject was His Imperial Lordship's open profession of faith. He confided that before going to his chancery in Washington each day, he always visited either of two Episcopal churches to attend daily Masses—i.e., Episcopalian Mass.

And finally, Halifax spoke upon another safe subject—Archbishop Mooney of Detroit. The British Ambassador said:

"I was delighted to call on an old friend of my days in India. Archbishop Mooney and I were twins in service in that country."

All the above information (with the exception of the ring incident) is lifted from the *Catholic Universe Bulletin* of Cleveland.

It is regrettable that His Imperial Lordship did not specify in what twin service precisely he and Archbishop Mooney were engaged.

Comment

Mr. Joe Davies Changes His Mind

HERE IT COMES, folks. More and more of it. Get out of the way or you'll be splashed from head to foot!

We mean the whitewash that the war party is throwing around to obliterate all the ugly memories of Soviet terrorism and anti-Christianity.

Two weeks ago, we took you to Moscow with Mr. Ralph Ingersoll, editor of the New York Marxian tabloid, *P.M.* Although Mr. Field's editor was somewhat horrified by the general poverty in the land of the great democratic dictatorship, he expressed deep sympathy with the Soviet experiment and was impressed particularly with Bloody Joe Stalin's alleged "straightforwardness."

Now, along comes Joseph Davies, former U. S. ambassador to Communist Russia, in a magazine article which purports to show that Stalin's famous army purges of a few years back, which shocked every civilized nation, were legitimate measures of national defense against traitors who sought to disrupt the "national unity."

Much in the same vein does Harry Hopkins, the President's messenger boy, extol Stalin and the Soviet in the same issue of the same publication—the *American Magazine* of December, 1941.

One would of necessity have to be quite naive not to suspect that these laudatory pieces about the Reds and their atheistic leaders which are currently infesting newspapers and magazines are inspired by a single source and for a single purpose.

One need not be a literary detective to arrive at the conclusion that the warmongers are working overtime in an effort to erase from the public mind the true picture of Soviet godlessness, brutality and intrigue.

Well knowing the attitude of the American people toward the ruthless, anti-Christian Communists, the Roosevelt Administration and its war-bent advisers are employing every device at their command to distort the truth and convince the public that the Communistic Soviet is not so bad, after all.

Of Ambassador Davies' contribution, Representative E. E. Cox of Georgia declared: "I denounce this article by Mr. Davies as an ill-advised, utterly fallacious, wholly untrue and despicable piece of cheap propaganda which should not find one believer among the readers of this country!"

* * *

The former ambassador writes that he has "changed his mind" about those Soviet army purges of 1935 to 1938 which the world construed at the time as "outrageous examples of barbarism, ingratitude and hysteria."

Now, he says, it "appears that they indicated the amazing far-sightedness of Stalin and his close associates."

Davies asserts that he attended the Moscow trials "probably more assiduously than any other member of the diplomatic corps," but, nevertheless, he and everyone else in attendance "seemed to have 'missed the boat'."

Stalin was merely weeding out Russian "Quislings" who were co-operating with Nazi Germany, or were otherwise plotting Stalin's overthrow and interfering with his honest efforts to establish a socialist democracy, Davies asserts.

"Many commentators," he continues, "have concluded that the purges seriously weakened the Red Army. I believe that the exact opposite is the truth. The house cleaning of traitors eliminated some of the higher commanders, but it brought about the promotion of younger and frequently more imaginative men who, while lacking the experience of their predecessors, made up for it in initiative and loyalty.

"The purges also gave the average soldier a greater sense of security and a conviction that his fate was now in the hands of more trustworthy officers than 'the Trotzkyite traitors who had met the fate they deserved'."

* * *

Congressman Cox observed that in view of the American Army's low morale, perhaps we had better follow Mr. Davies' reasoning and shoot or cut the throats of officers whom the soldiers dislike or in whom they have not sufficient confidence.

Mr. Davies attributes much of the strong Russian resistance to the Nazis to the fact that Stalin had liquidated the officers he mistrusted. As a result there are now no saboteurs or fifth columnists to co-operate with the Germans.

"The Russians were sufficiently far-sighted to eliminate them before it was too late," says the ex-ambassador, "That is a fact which other liberty-loving nations might well ponder."

* * *

We can understand Congressman Cox's impassioned denunciation.

"In God's name, Mr. Speaker," he shouted; "can it be possible that those words were written by the pen of a man who once represented this nation as an ambassador?

"Can it be possible that a man residing in the indescribable luxury of millions here in Washington today could write such words which advocate, if they mean anything at all, the adoption of a policy in this and other liberty-loving nations, of Joe Stalin's bloody policy of torture, terrorism, assassination?

"Why, Mr. Speaker, if we were to follow any such advice, perfectly patriotic people who differ with you and me on our foreign policy would be taken into dungeons; be tortured; their loved ones would have threats hanging over them until they publicly flaggellated themselves by public confessions and self-accusations; and, then, they would be shot.

"Mr. Speaker and colleagues, the reason I am speaking these words today is because, along with numerous other earnest citizens of this nation, I perceive a deadly dangerous trend toward the deification of this bloody-handed dictator, Josef Stalin, as a great champion of liberty and a great Christian ideal."

The Congressman characterized Mr. Davies' observations as an "insolent insult to American intelligence," declaring that "those who were brought to public trial were only those who had been tortured into submission in the secret dungeons of Dictator Stalin, and who had been warned, and who knew that unless they offered themselves up—living sacrifices on the altar of this bloody-handed Moloch — their relatives, even down to distant cousins, would be tortured by the most unspeakable means —tortured to death!

"It is common knowledge among informed persons who have followed the course of this despot, Stalin, that thousands upon thousands died of torture in dungeons and under the incredible brutality of the *Ogpu* because they would not agree to go through that ghastly farce of a public confession and trial and sentence."

Mr. Davies believed that once upon a time, too. He believed it because he was there and saw it happen.

But now *he has changed his mind!* He says he was wrong all those years. Amazing, isn't it?

Note for Michiganders

IT IS sufficient to reprint a comment made by Philip Slomovitz, editor of the *Detroit Jewish Chronicle*, to instruct Michiganders on a matter pertaining to Senator Prentiss Brown.

The following appeared in the *Detroit Jewish Chronicle* (Oct. 31):

"United States Senator Prentiss M. Brown's address this Sunday, at the combined Balfour Day and Brandeis Memorial meeting, at the Shaarey Zedek, will be his second in two years before a Jewish audience.

"On Feb. 4, 1940, it was this Commentator's good fortune to preside at the protest meeting against Nazi atrocities in Poland and to introduce the Michigan Senator, whose scholarly address showed keen understanding of the problem as it affects Jews and Christians under Naziism.

"An interesting incident at that meeting was the turn of the hands of the clock in the Cass High auditorium to an hour when a bigot used to speak over the radio, injecting race and religious hatred. Frank Martel, president of the Detroit and Wayne County Federations of Labor, was speaking at the time, immediately preceding Senator Brown, and he made a caustic reference to the radio bigot. Whereupon the eminent Senator turned to this Commentator and said: 'And it is exactly 4 o'clock!'

"Senator Brown speaks well, and there is thoughtful content in his remarks. He will be well worth hearing when he speaks here on Sunday."

Britain—100 Years Ago

From history in the files of the Portland (Me.) Press-Herald

Nov. 5 (1841)—"There has never been a more unholy war than this of England upon China. The whole civilized world should raise a cry of indignation against it."

Nov. 6—"If the British can possibly force opium upon China in disregard of the commercial regulations of the country, they may well dictate their own terms to other countries."

Nov. 7—"The *Courier & Enquirer* talks of the civilization and religion which may be carried by the British into China because of the war. To talk of making men more civilized by poisoning them with opium!"

Comment

Not Apathy, but Alarm, Mrs. Roosevelt

THE First Lady of the Land, Mrs. Franklin Roosevelt, spoke at an Armistice Day mass meeting in Detroit, arranged by the Sisterhood of Congregation Shaarey Zedek as the high spot in the 23rd annual convention of the Women's League of United Synagogue of America. "Apathy and complacency have been the greatest dangers to national preparedness," Mrs. Roosevelt said.

In her role as assistant director in the Office of Civilian Defense, Mrs. Roosevelt called attention to Civilian Defense Week which opened with Armistice Day.

"We need 100,000 nurses within a year and *at least* 200,000 *as quickly as possible!*"

"Specifically, eligible women can rally to the call for nurses," Mrs. Roosevelt pointed out. "The Red Cross is equipped to train far more nurses than have volunteered. Somehow we must get more people willing to do hard work to meet the need that exists in their communities now. All programs begun by Federal and state setups can be greatly improved by volunteer work."

If the First Lady would take a few minutes out of her incredible schedule of activities for objective reflection she would find the root cause for the lack of the cooperation she laments is not so much apathy, as genuine alarm over the unmistakable drive towards regimentation.

William Fulton, writing for the *Chicago Tribune* from New York recently, listed six reasons for the mounting concern over the ultimate objectives of the Civilian Defense Program, as advanced by some of the women in authority.

"There is a growing suspicion that the wolf of Washington politics is lurking under the clothing of patriotism," Mr. Fulton said.

"These women leaders, who plunged wholeheartedly into the Civilian Defense program, are afraid they are being regimented into an organization which will be used to promote the collectivist ideas of the Roosevelt administration.

"It is the army of women enrolling for volunteer service under the Civilian Defense Councils in 4,000 communities which some leaders, versed in national affairs, fear will be regimented. These women are being recruited not only for air raid work and first aid, but *for a wide variety of activities which have nothing to do with war.*

"Major reasons for mounting concern over the ultimate objectives of the program, as advanced by some of the women in authority, are:

"1. The non-defense character of many of the proposed missions gives rise to the belief that the administration is scheming to invade fields now served by private agencies. Picked at random from the "Volunteer Office" manual issued by the United States Office of Civilian Defense are these activities: promote "town hall meetings," form study groups, organize forums on food buying and home budgeting, work in hospitals, assist at the day nursery, gardening and canning, help with school lunch, act as life savers in swimming pools and work at the library.

"2. The oath included in the manual binds the volunteer to serve at tasks assigned her "until such time as I am relieved of my responsibility.' The Civilian Defense Office is demanding that all volunteers who register for work in the private organizations shall be registered with the defense councils—further evidence of the government's plan to take over.

"3. Writings and utterances of Eleanor Roosevelt, the President's wife, propose 'a year of compulsory service' for girls.

"4. Promoters of the civilian defense program in Washington attempted to force all women volunteers, even those working for private agencies, to wear the same uniform. After howls of protest from organizations which wanted to keep the trim outfits they had been wearing, the proposal was abandoned.

"5. W.P.A. workers are being used for clerical help, whereas the women were led to believe the entire service was voluntary. Appointment of key officials, some of whom are being paid salaries, is being made on a political basis, especially here in New York where followers of Mayor Fiorello La Guardia, national director of civilian defense, are being handed the plums.

"6. Municipal funds are being drawn upon to pay the salaries of certain defense officials and other expenses in some communities, thereby tying the organization to local politics."

A hint of the fight going on behind the scenes was furnished in a recent radio speech delivered by Mrs. Preston Davie, New York civic leader and vice president of the American Women's Voluntary Services, Inc.

"There are those in high places who have not the same faith that I have, those whose cynical estimate of American women is so low that they believe regimentation or drafting of women is desirable," remarked Mrs. Davie.

"It has even been suggested that young women between the ages of 18 and 24 be conscripted for a year of compulsory service. It seems inconceivable and fantastic that in America we should even discuss adopting what admittedly is the most objectionable and loathsome part of the Nazi and Communist program.

"But the very fact that such an idea is being advocated openly should put us on our guard. We must remember that totalitarian ideas are always sold to the people under the guise of greater opportunities for education and improvement. America must not fall for that type of sales talk.

"Let us guard, and guard with vigilance, against any move to use this emergency as an excuse to conscript or regiment our women."

'Scoop' on Winchell

MISS DOROTHY THOMPSON has announced that she plans to divorce Sinclair Lewis with whom she last lived in 1936. The news "scooped" Winchell who seems to have muffed this one completely.

Of course, everybody's asking: "Who's next?"

Hitler, you know, is a bachelor; and we recall what they say about hate being akin to even deeper interest.

We can hardly wait!

The Art of Lying

ONE OF the most appalling things about the developments of the day, observes the *San Francisco Leader*, is the utter dishonesty of men in high places.

"In the United States Senate . . . when Clark of Missouri questioned Connally of Texas about certain utterances made during the debate on the amendment of the *Neutrality Act* in 1939, Connally repudiated them with a jest.

" 'I am prepared to eat a lot of my words,' he replied, or words to that effect.

"The press dispatch carefully omitted to mention what Clark's question referred to, but it was probably one of those 'keep us out of war' stereotypes so glibly voiced by the Administration and its supporters in advocacy of a measure really designed to draw us into war."

SOCIAL JUSTICE believes it would be a service to supply the Connally quote so carefully omitted by the press. On October 4, 1939, the Texas Senator, now so hot for war, stated:

"A number of people in the country have been led to believe that the United States is to furnish arms and ammunition; that the Government is to do it. Why, of course, the Government *is not going to spend a nickel for arms and ammunition* under this joint resolution. The Government *will not furnish a single bayonet or a single bullet!*"

Now, that, it seems to us, was quite an ominous omission.

Connally's reply, although not as barefaced and shameless as Willkie's "campaign oratory" plea, was much along the same lines. "It was," continues the *Leader*, "merely the utterance of one of the most unprincipled and contemptible rubber stamps that ever disgraced the once respected title of United States Senator.

"On the same day the President of the United States made two of the most preposterous statements ever uttered in Washington when he 'revealed' the alleged Nazi plan for five puppet states in South America and the substitution of *Mein Kampf* for the *Bible*.

"The first statement was evidently intended for consumption in Latin America, where his statement about religious liberty in Russia had caused widespread dissent, and the second to allay the shock occasioned to religious people everywhere by the same statement.

"His refusal to disclose the alleged documents and maps upon which he pretended to base those statements was surprising, considering that it would be almost as easy to manufacture them as it was for him to invent the statements.

"He ought to have sought the aid of his British friends. They have made use of the art of forgery in statecraft for centuries. They invoked it with the 'Casket letters' to damn the memory of Mary, Queen of Scots, nearly four centuries ago, and they used it to damn the memory and take the life of Roger Casement a little more than twenty years ago.

"The name of Washington, our first President, has always been symbolic of truth. The motor car is undoubtedly an improvement on the means of locomotion in his time. But *there are other departures from the ways of the horse-and-buggy days less to be admired.*"

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

Miss Radio Priest

TO THE EDITOR:

It is heart warming to note in "Letters" the number of people in America who are still demanding and clamoring for the return of the Radio Priest to the air. I'm willing to wager that only about one in a thousand of these demands are published.

It would be a great favor and blessing to the people of America to have at this time the Christian counsel and informed guidance of Father Coughlin. However, the people are not likely to be the recipient of any such "favors" or "blessings" from the present war-bound Administration.

Only "sacrifices" from the people are the order of our day.

The silent Father Coughlin is still "Number One Priest" in the hearts of millions of people of this U.S.A.

San Francisco, Calif. —M.A.C.

Thousands Eager to Hear Fr. Coughlin

TO THE EDITOR:

Who are "the proper authorities" you refer to in your issue of November 3 that have the power to put Father Coughlin back on the air?

Can anything we have to say to those who have the responsibility of rectifying the sad mistake perpetrated upon him, help his cause?

Father Coughlin holds the loyalty and respect of millions of Americans who have bitterness—and some of them hate—in their hearts because of the past duplicity imposed upon them by their exploiters who are well known; but they would never venture to wreak their vengeance against a living soul, if the good Priest of the Radio had opportunity to plead their cause.

Cleveland, Ohio —E.R.

Editor's Note: Scores of letters which we continuously receive attest the popularity of the silent Radio Priest. The recent comment in SOCIAL JUSTICE, however, referred to the possibility of Father Coughlin's appearance before some public gatherings rather than his return to a national radio network at this time. Permission of the proper ecclesiastical authorities in the localities of the meeting places would be required. Thus, as we understand it, committees inviting Father Coughlin to address their organizations would have to accompany the invitation with that of the proper church authorities.

No Steel for Churches

TO THE EDITOR:

Near my home a church cannot be constructed because the steel required for its erection cannot be had due to the defense priorities.

Steel needed for Communist tanks, guns and airplanes is not held back.

In the name of "saving four freedoms" our own defense is being sidetracked to aid Stalin save his slave camp.

I suppose that under the New Deal, the war cause of atheistic Communism comes before the erection of a Christian church to the glory of God.

Philadelphia, Pa. —J.M.K.

Quo Vadis?

TO THE EDITOR:

Where is America going?

It seems that the handwriting is on the wall, if we but take the time to look.

In Soviet Russia, homes are government-owned.

In Soviet Russia, food is purchased on credit books.

In both Russia and Germany, a price is paid for a child.

In the U.S.A. we now have "defense" housing, owned and operated by the New Deal Government. A time is set for your radio, also for the time your children can play, and the homes are inspected every so often.

Our people on welfare buy food with "food stamps" and we are told that "defense stamps" may be used to pay our income tax.

In the U.S.A. if a man asks for a job on W.P.A. or on the welfare, if he is not a married man, he is turned down. He applies for aid and is turned down because he is not married. So, he finds himself a wife, gets aid from the welfare and, satisfied with what they give him, forgets about the W.P.A. and work.

Buffalo, N. Y. —C.F.W.

Little Old New York Is Not the Same Town

TO THE EDITOR:

Your article in SOCIAL JUSTICE (Sept. 29) entitled *"Magazines Lead Assault on Christian Culture"* has crystalized a thought that has been in my mind for years.

I am a native New Yorker. For 27 years my work has required me to live elsewhere. Each year, however, I re-visit my home and each time I emerge from the railroad terminal into New York City my experience is the same.

The moral atmosphere of New York today closes in on me and hangs over me like a pall. It is an atmosphere peculiar to New York City—unlike any other place in the United States. It is heavy, it is low, it is dirty!

Here is an instance of what I mean: Go into New York or Brooklyn subways. The cars may be clean, but one actually feels the contamination that fills the air. The majority of passengers dress, not poorly, but slovenly. They habitually shout and seem not to know that common decency requires conversational tones in public places. These loud conversations are for the most part cheap and low. One often sees young people mauling each other in disgraceful disregard of onlookers.

This is just a sample; one sees far worse on the public beaches and elsewhere. Such affronts to public decency are not isolated but the regular thing. New Yorkers have come almost to accept them, sickening as they are to all decent persons.

The strange feat of it is—or, perhaps, it is not strange at all—these offenders inevitably bear a characteristic racial stamp. Just now the fashion is to call them "refugees." They have no moral sense. By what right do they come into a once clean and decent land and supplant our Christian morality and sense of common decency?

These people are always crying "persecution" but how can they expect consideration or respect when they have no respect for themselves?

One day when Jones Beach was still young and clean and wholesome, two men (Christians) having just enjoyed a dip in its then clean waters, were standing on the beach. One of them remarked that it would not be long before a certain class of people would gradually come in and change the whole face of the place.

One of "that class" standing nearby overheard the conversation and volunteered the information:

"Yes, we pushed you out of Coney Island, Rockaway, Long Beach and we will push you outta here—out into the ocean!"

Well, they have made good their threat. And they will do it every time.

They called it "persecution" in Europe; but it may have been "purification." It will be a blessed day for this country and for New York City when the moral atmosphere can be cleaned up.

It will then be unnecessary to go into the trains under Grand Central and Penn station en route out of New York for a pure breath.

—For a Cleaner New York

This Is America

TO THE EDITOR:

Last night (Oct. 29) we attended a friendly get-together meeting of the business men of Two Rivers, Wisconsin, and the farmers of this area.

As we watched the arriving tillers of the soil with their lumbering stride, a trait born of long, weary hours of exhausting toil; and, mingling with this crowd of sturdy sons of Wisconson's soil, the city business man dashing hither and yon with his bantum strut; and also the city's prominent banker with his reserved and deliberate step, to our mind the thought came —*This is America!*

Here in the deep interior of our great land still untouched by the hysteria of the mad war dogs of internationalism, here was America happy to live alone; ready and able to work out its own destiny; dashing the lie into the faces of those who would tie up this great country of ours with the woes and sorrows of those hopeless lands we do not even know.

America isolated. America minding her own. And, when these men, the salt of the earth, arose to sing: "My Country, 'tis of thee, sweet Land of Liberty," it seemed as if we could hear the voice of one self-willed politician, standing before a microphone challenging the whole world to come out and fight.

Americans, be not deceived—again! Know your power, and use it. Don't be deceived with the fallacy "Rather death than living on my knees." That is not our choice. It was the choice of Greece, but this great continent is faced with no such choice.

We no longer lisp baby talk. Let us stop acting like a lot of infants!

America is safe if Americans, in Wisconsin and elsewhere, stay at home, ready and unafraid.

America's danger nests not abroad, but in Washington, D. C. And one might be even more specific than that!

Two Rivers, Wisconsin —G.K.

Double-crossing Finland

TO THE EDITOR:

This last week the internationalist masters cracked their whips and brought both the British and the United States Governments smartly to attention. Even so, the showmanship was there: Doing a Gaston and Alphonse, "You first," "After you," both these great big brave bullies harshly barked their commands to little Finland.

Now it wouldn't surprise me if by next week some New Deal orator—possibly Wendell Willkie—gave a nationwide broadcast warning those Americans who refuse to wake up that we are in peril of an invasion from Finland!

Not so long ago this very Government shed crocodile tears as she choked: "Little Finland, fighting for her life!"

Well, she is still fighting for her life, but against us and Britain now as well as Red Russia. May God and His holy angels protect little Finland and grant her a great and glorious victory!

San Francisco, Calif. —C.A.M.

Letters

A Christian Christmas

TO THE EDITOR:

This is one Christmas that my friends will not receive gifts in the luxury class, because I cannot bring myself to pay the tax used by our Government to aid the God-hating, Soviet Russian regime calling itself for the moment a "democracy."

Instead, friends of both sexes will get subscriptions (if only for six months) to SOCIAL JUSTICE, the *Tablet, Scribner's Commentator* or some other Christian American publication.

God forbid that the birthday anniversary of His Divine Son should be used to aid those who would destroy the very mention of His Holy Name!

—G.W.

Philadelphia, Pa.

Film Moguls Come to Bat for Reds

TO THE EDITOR:

If any of your readers are wondering why the Clark-Nye investigation of motion picture war propaganda is not moving faster let Representative Martin Dies enlighten them.

In an article in *Liberty* Magazine last year (Feb. 17, 1940) Mr. Dies wrote that a thoroughgoing exposure of what really goes on in Hollywood is a virtual impossibility.

"In the first place," he said, "there is a natural barrier flung around Hollywood against any outsider, especially an investigator who is undertaking to expose Communist activities.

"In the second place, the Communist Party has taken and will take every precaution to protect its well-paying members and sympathizers in Hollywood.

"Finally, Hollywood has the most efficient organization of high-powered press agents in the world."

Mr. Dies made a trip to Hollywood and was "amazed to learn the extent of subversive activities there." He found more than 40 prominent members of the film colony were full-fledged members of the Communist Party or active sympathizers and fellow travelers, and that Hollywood contributed large sums of money to foster Communism in the United States.

The movie moguls, most of them Jews Mr. Dies said, fell over one another bidding for his favor and trying to get him to soft-pedal his exposure of Communist activity in America. The representative of one group of producers reportedly told Mr. Dies that Hollywood "could make him Vice-President of the United States" if he would lay off Hollywood and stick to anti-Nazi investigations!

Unfortunately for America, Mr. Dies did not follow through on his exposure of Hollywood!

—C.W.E.

Cincinnati, Ohio

Blind Leaders of the Blind

TO THE EDITOR:

I was worried when I read of the divergent attitudes of the priests regarding help for Communist Russia.

Nothing in all this world would be more tragic at the present time than a schism among our priests.

It is natural that priests should have differing opinions, but is it wise to confuse a bewildered public by expressing such widely conflicting opinions in the press?

For us, the Pope, the hierarchy and all of the holy priesthood stand for stability in this rapidly changing world, and God help us all if they separate!

The Catholic church is doing a magnificent work in the world, and especially in its home missionary work, because she wins confidence everywhere by her unity.

She never was more wonderful and more glorious than right now, troubled and persecuted.

—Mrs. A.E.H.

Pensacola, Florida

Aid to Ireland

TO THE EDITOR:

In reference to a letter which appeared in a recent edition entitled, "Bundles for Eire," as Executive Secretary for Aid to Ireland, Inc., I would like to say that this organization is not, at the present time, collecting bundles.

What we are doing is sending money to the St. Vincent de Paul Society to be distributed throughout Ireland. We are also raising funds at the present time to send medical supplies to the Irish Red Cross, whose headquarters are in Dublin.

I am sorry that the information sent your paper in the letter seemed to give many people the impression that we are collecting "bundles," as we have had several letters to this effect.

We would certainly appreciate your putting notice in SOCIAL JUSTICE as to this correction. Thank you very much.

—Aid to Ireland Committee,
Rita C. Murnane,
Executive Secretary.

New York, N. Y.

Harder Than Dying!

TO THE EDITOR:

Well, it sounds like we are about to start shooting. Will our faces, and our mothers' faces, be red, when we are pictured in the U.S. Army taking orders from Moscow!

As much as I hate Communism, I'm going to offer my life for it. I feel as if I were in a concentration camp right now, waiting for the word to march.

Believe me, the thought of endorsing Communism is harder for me than enduring the soldier's hardships. The results most surely will be ruthless.

—Buck Private

Fort Warren, Cheyenne, Wyo.

Churchill's 'Victims'

TO THE EDITOR:

Prime Minister Churchill's crocodile tears shed over the recent victims of Hitler's firing squads is the most hypocritical "window dressing" yet staged. It seems of little concern to Churchill that many of these innocent victims of Nazi firing squads are also victims of Mr. Churchill, for he is largely responsible for the uprisings and revolts now going on in France and elsewhere.

The British, with the aid of their Communist brethern, have encouraged these disturbances without the least compunction as to the inevitable results. In view of these facts, Mr. Churchill must be regarded as an "accomplice" of Hitler.

According to reports emanating from neutral Switzerland, these recent murders are said to be the work of Communists, and since the Churchill-Roosevelt combination is making such ill use of their Communistic allies, the trouble in France is no surprise.

Every victim of a Hitler firing squad is, likewise, a victim of the Churchill-Roosevelt world domination scheme.

Do not misunderstand me, I condemn this firing squad business with all my heart and soul, but here is some raw truth—make the most of it!

—L.F.G.

Hyattsville, Maryland

An Irishman's Protest

TO THE EDITOR:

Can we not request the producers of motion pictures to stop assigning Irish and Italian names to gangsters, thieves and other desperate characters in depicting such roles while, on the other hand, glorifying only the Jew by assigning the detective, the good lawyer and the D. A. with names of a kosher variety. The habit is more than noticeable, it is obtrusive and annoying. Why do not those of the Irish race, such as the O'Learies, Kellys, Reillys, Sheas, Hogans, Cullens, etc., object more vigorously?

—D.C.

New York City

Church-Theater Misunderstood; Project Is Temporary First Step

TO THE EDITOR:

In your September 1st issue (page 18) we read: "Two weeks ago *Time* Magazine published the picture of a new church." The *Los Angeles Tidings* commented on the story. SOCIAL JUSTICE published the comment, adding a few sad regrets.

The *Tidings* and SOCIAL JUSTICE bemoan the fact that a Roman Catholic church was built in Kew Gardens, a suburban part of New York City, in the diocese of Brooklyn, in which a stage appears as important as "the high altar."...

The building in question is merely a half-finished auditorium of a school to which it will eventually be attached. The preliminary scheme, upon which the approval of the Most Reverend Bishop was granted, shows a beautiful Gothic church to stand beside the building in question, and thoroughly dominating it in every way ...

The Kew Gardens arrangement was "accepted to meet an emergency." Hundreds of houses, dozens of six-story apartments, sprung up almost over night. Hundreds of Catholic families called for church services, which were for a time held in a cellar of one of the apartments with the boiler in full view. But the boiler wasn't rated as "important" in church worship as the altar.

The New York City building code requires the utmost in fireproof construction for places of public assembly. Even a small church would be difficult for a parish without a dime, a large church entirely out of the question. Yet, the promised growth of the locality indicates a large church in the final analysis.

The most economical unit of the final parish group becomes a moderately sized auditorium of a future school, to be used temporarily for Sunday services. This program was followed not only in Kew Gardens, but in several other parishes within a radius of a few miles. The "jack-in-the-box" arrangement, which enables this auditorium to be used on Sundays for church services and throughout the week for auditorium purposes, is the only thing that distinguishes it from several other buildings of its kind in the diocese of Brooklyn.

It is strictly an emergency proposition — unfinished walls, unfinished roofing, and every item of church worship of the most temporary character.

Time, Tidings and SOCIAL JUSTICE may calm their fears. The diocese of Brooklyn has not lost its respect for the House of God, and has no intention at any time of making the stage a match for the High Altar.

—Dioscesan Building Committee,
Very Rev. Msgr. Allan T.
Pendleton, Secretary.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

Editor's Note: SOCIAL JUSTICE is grateful to Msgr. Pendleton for this explanation. Obviously, this combined theater and church is not "something new in church architecture" but a modification of an arrangement that is frequently resorted to in new parishes. We are glad, too, that this explanation will reach many more readers than saw the original comment.

Kicking Out Neutrality

How Congress Voted

HERE Is another list you may add to your files for a historical record of which Congressmen went along with the Roosevelt Administration in its march toward war.

It is the roll call vote in the Senate and House of Representatives on the bill amending the *Neutrality Act of 1939*, to permit the arming of American merchant vessels and the dispatch of such vessels into war zones anywhere in the world.

Under pressure of the President and his war-mongering aides the House of Representatives on November 13th, passed the measure by a margin of 18 votes—212 to 194.

The Senate on November 7th passed the bill by a vote of 50 to 37.

Here, for your permanent file, is the recorded vote:

The Vote in the Senate

FOR THE BILL—50

Democrats—43

Andrews, Florida
Bailey, North Carolina
Bankhead, Alabama
Barkley, Kentucky
Brown, Michigan
Bunker, Nevada
Caraway, Arkansas
Chandler, Kentucky
Connally, Texas
Doxey, Mississippi
Ellender, Louisiana
George, Georgia
Gerry, Rhode Island
Glass, Virginia
Green, Rhode Island
Guffey, Pennsylvania
Hatch, New Mexico
Herring, Iowa
Hill, Alabama
Hughes, Delaware
Kilgore, West Virginia
Lee, Oklahoma
Lucas, Illinois
McFarland, Arizona
McKellar, Tennessee
Maybank, South Carolina
Mead, New York
Murray, Montana
O'Daniel, Texas
O'Mahoney, Wyoming
Overton, Louisiana
Radcliffe, Maryland
Rosier, West Virginia
Russell, Georgia
Schwartz, Wyoming
Smathers, New Jersey
Spencer, Arkansas
Stewart, Tennessee
Thomas, Oklahoma
Thomas, Utah
Truman, Missouri
Tunnell, Delaware
Wallgren, Washington

Republicans—6

Austin, Vermont
Ball, Minnesota
Barbour, New Jersey
Bridges, New Hampshire
Gurney, South Dakota
White, Maine

Independent—1

Norris, Nebraska

AGAINST THE BILL—37

Democrats—15

Adams, Colorado
Bilbo, Mississippi
Byrd, Virginia
Chavez, New Mexico
Clark, Idaho
Clark, Missouri
Gillette, Iowa
Johnson, Colorado
McCarran, Nevada
Maloney, Connecticut
Smith, South Carolina
Tydings, Maryland
Van Nuys, Indiana
Walsh, Massachusetts
Wheeler, Montana

Republicans—21

Aiken, Vermont
Brewster, Maine
Brooks, Illinois
Burton, Ohio
Butler, Nebraska
Capper, Kansas
Danaher, Connecticut
Davis, Pennsylvania
Holman, Oregon
Johnson, California
Langer, North Dakota
Lodge, Massachusetts
McNary, Oregon
Nye, North Dakota
Shipstead, Minnesota
Taft, Ohio
Thomas, Idaho
Tobey, New Hampshire
Vandenberg, Michigan
Wiley, Wisconsin
Willis, Indiana

Progressive—1

LaFollette, Wisconsin

Pairs

Bone, Democrat, of Washington, for, and Murdock, Democrat, of Utah, position not announced.

Pepper, Democrat, of Florida, for, and Reed, Republican, of Kansas, against.

Hayden, Democrat, of Arizona, for, and Bulow, Democrat, of South Dakota, against.

Wagner, Democrat, of New York, for, and Reynolds, Democrat, of North Carolina, against

Downey, Democrat, California, absent and position not recorded.

The Vote in the House

FOR THE BILL—212

Democrats—189

Allen, Louisiana
Anderson, New Mexico
Arnold, Illinois
Barnes, Illinois
Bates, Kentucky
Beckworth, Texas
Beiter, New York
Bell, Missouri
Bland, Virginia
Bloom, New York
Boehne, Indiana
Boggs, Louisiana
Boland, Pennsylvania
Bonner, North Carolina
Boykin, Alabama
Brooks, Louisiana
Brown, Georgia
Bryson, South Carolina
Buck, California
Buckley, New York
Bulwinkle, North Carolina
Burch, Virginia
Burgin, North Carolina
Byrne, New York
Byron, Maryland
Camp, Georgia
Cannon, Florida
Cannon, Missouri
Capozzoli, New York
Casey, Massachusetts
Celler, New York
Chapman, Kentucky
Clark, North Carolina
Claypool, Ohio
Cochran, Missouri
Cole, Maryland
Collins, Mississippi
Cooley, North Carolina
Cooper, Tennessee
Courtney, Tennessee
Cox, Georgia
Cravens, Arkansas
Creal, Kentucky
Crosser, Ohio
D'Alesandro, Maryland
Davis, Ohio
Davis, Tennessee
Delaney, New York
Dickstein, New York
Dies, Texas
Dingell, Michigan
Disney, Oklahoma
Domengeaux, Louisiana
Downs, Connecticut
Drewry, Virginia
Duncan, Missouri
Durham, North Carolina
Eberharter, Pennsylvania
Edmiston, West Virginia
Eliot, Massachusetts
Ellis, Arkansas
Fitzgerald, Connecticut
Fitzpatrick, New York
Flannagan, Virginia
Flannery, Pennsylvania
Folger, North Carolina
Ford, Mississippi
Ford, T. F., California
Fulmer, South Carolina
Gathings, Arkansas
Gavagan, New York
Gibson, Georgia
Gore, Tennessee
Gossett, Texas
Granger, Utah
Grant, Alabama
Green, Florida
Gregory, Kentucky
Haines, Pennsylvania
Hare, South Carolina
Harris, Virginia
Harris, Arkansas
Harter, Ohio
Healey, Massachusetts
Hebert, Louisiana
Heffernan, New York
Hendricks, Florida
Hobbs, Alabama
Holbrock, Ohio
Houston, Kansas
Izac, California
Jackson, Washington
Jarman, Alabama
Johnson, Oklahoma
Johnson, Luther A., Texas
Johnson, Lyndon, Texas
Kee, West Virginia
Kefauver, Tennessee
Kennedy, Michael J., New York
Kerr, North Carolina
Kilday, Texas
Kirwan, Ohio
Klein, New York
Kocialkowski, Illinois
Kopplemann, Connecticut
Kramer, California
Lanham, Texas
Larrabee, Indiana
Lea, California
Leavy, Washington
Lesinski, Michigan
Lewis, Colorado
Maciora, Connecticut
McCormack, Massachusetts
McGranery, Pennsylvania
McIntyre, Wyoming
McKeough, Illinois
McLaughlin, Nebraska
McMillan, South Carolina
Maciejewski, Illinois
Magnuson, Washington
Mahon, Texas
Manasco, Alabama
Meyer, Maryland
Mills, Arkansas
Mills, Louisiana
Mitchell, Illinois
Monroney, Oklahoma
Murdock, Arizona
Myers, Pennsylvania
Nelson, Missouri
Norrell, Arkansas
Norton, New Jersey
O'Neal, Kentucky
O'Toole, New York
Pace, Georgia
Patman, Texas
Patrick, Alabama
Patton, Texas
Pearson, Tennessee
Peterson, Florida
Pierce, Oregon
Plauche, Louisiana
Poage, Texas
Priest, Tennessee
Ramsay, West Virginia
Ramspeck, Georgia
Randolph, West Virginia
Rivers, South Carolina
Robertson, Virginia
Rogers, Oklahoma
Romjue, Missouri

(Continued on Page 17)

(Continued from Page 16)

Russell, Texas
Sabath, Illinois
Sacks, Pennsylvania
Sanders, Louisiana
Schuetz, Illinois
Schulte, Indiana
Sheppard, California
Sikes, Florida
Smith, Pennsylvania
Smith, West Virginia
Sparkman, Alabama
Spence, Kentucky
Starnes, Alabama
Steagall, Alabama
Sullivan, Missouri
Tarver, Georgia
Terry, Arkansas
Thom, Ohio
Thomas, Texas
Thomason, Texas
Traynor, Delaware
Vincent, Kentucky
Voorhis, California
Ward, Maryland
Wasielewski, Wisconsin
Weaver, North Carolina
Weiss, Pennsylvania
Wene, New Jersey
West, Texas
Welchel, Georgia
Whittington, Mississippi
Wickersham, Oklahoma
Williams, Missouri
Woodrum, Virginia
Wright, Pennsylvania
Young, Ohio
Zimmerman, Missouri

Republicans—22

Baldwin, New York
Bates, Massachusetts
Cluett, New York
Cole, New York
Cunningham, Iowa
Douglas, New York
Eaton, New Jersey
Ford, L. W., California
Gamble, New York
Gearhart, California
Gifford, Massachusetts
Hancock, New York
Kilburn, New York
Le Compte, Iowa
McLean, New Jersey
Plumley, Vermont
Rogers, Massachusetts
Smith, Maine
Stearns, New Hampshire
Taber, New York
Vreeland, New Jersey
Wadsworth, New York

American-Labor for the Bill—1

Marcantonio, New York

AGAINST THE BILL

Democrats—53

Barden, North Carolina
Barry, New York
Beam, Illinois
Boren, Oklahoma
Bradley, Pennsylvania
Coffee, Nebraska
Coffee, Washington
Colmer, Mississippi
Cullen, New York
Doughton, North Carolina
Elliott, California
Fogarty, Rhode Island
Forand, Rhode Island
Harrington, Iowa
Hart, New Jersey
Hill, Washington
Hook, Michigan
Hunter, Ohio
Johnson, West Virginia
Kelley, Pennsylvania
Kelly, Illinois
Kennedy, Martin J., New York
Keough, New York
Ludlow, Indiana
Lynch, New York
McGehee, Mississippi
Merritt, New York
Moser, Pennsylvania
O'Brien, Michigan
O'Connor, Montana
O'Leary, New York
Peterson, Georgia
Pfeifer, New York
Rabaut, Michigan
Rankin, Mississippi
Rickards, South Carolina
Robinson, Utah
Sasscer, Maryland
Scanlon, Pennsylvania
Shanley, Connecticut
Shannon, Missouri
Sheridan, Pennsylvania
Smith, Virginia
Somers, New York
South, Texas
Sumners, Texas
Sutphin, New Jersey
Sweeney, Ohio
Tenerowicz, Michigan
Tolan, California
Walter, Pennsylvania
White, Idaho
Worley, Texas

Republicans Against the Bill—137

Allen, Illinois
Andersen, Minnesota
Andresen, Minnesota
Andrews, New York
Angell, Oregon
Arends, Illinois
Baumhart, Ohio
Bender, Ohio
Bennett, Missouri
Bishop, Illinois
Bolton, Ohio
Bradley, Michigan
Brown, Ohio
Burdick, North Dakota
Butler, New York
Canfield, New Jersey
Carlson, Kansas
Carter, California
Case, South Dakota
Chenoweth, Colorado
Chiperfield, Illinois
Clason, Massachusetts
Clevenger, Ohio
Copeland, Nebraska
Crawford, Michigan
Crowther, New York
Culkin, New York
Curtis, Nebraska
Day, Illinois
Dewey, Illinois
Dirksen, Illinois
Ditter, Pennsylvania
Dondero, Michigan
Dworshak, Idaho
Elston, Ohio
Engel, Michigan
Englebright, California
Fellows, Maine
Fenton, Pennsylvania
Fish, New York
Gale, Minnesota
Gerlach, Pennsylvania
Gilchrist, Iowa
Gillie, Indiana
Graham, Pennsylvania
Grant, Indiana
Guyer, Kansas
Gwynne, Iowa
Hall, Edwin A., New York
Hall, Leonard W., New York
Halleck, Indiana
Harness, Indiana
Hartley, New Jersey
Heidinger, Illinois
Hess, Ohio
Hill, Colorado
Hinshaw, California
Hoffman, Michigan
Holmes, Massachusetts
Hope, Kansas
Howell, Illinois
Jarrett, Pennsylvania
Jenkins, Ohio
Jenks, New Hampshire
Jennings, Tennessee
Jensen, Iowa
Johns, Wisconsin
Johnson, Illinois
Johnson, Indiana
Jones, Ohio
Jonkman, Michigan
Kean, New Jersey
Keefe, Wisconsin
Kinzer, Pennsylvania
Knutson, Minnesota
Kunkel, Pennsylvania
Lambertson, Kansas
Landis, Indiana
McGregor, Ohio
Maas, Minnesota
Martin, Iowa
Martin, Massachusetts
Mason, Illinois
Michener, Michigan
Mott, Oregon
Mundt, South Dakota
Murray, Wisconsin
O'Brien, New York
O'Hara, Minnesota
Oliver, Maine
Osmers, New Jersey
Paddock, Illinois
Pheiffer, New York
Pittinger, Minnesota
Ploeser, Missouri
Powers, New Jersey
Rankin, Montana
Reece, Tennessee
Reed, Illinois
Reed, New York
Rees, Kansas
Rich, Pennsylvania
Rizley, Oklahoma
Robertson, North Dakota
Robsion, Kentucky
Rockefeller, New York
Rodgers, Pennsylvania
Rolph, California
Scott, Pennsylvania
Shafer, Michigan
Short, Missouri
Simpson, Pennsylvania
Smith, Ohio
Smith, Wisconsin
Springer, Indiana
Stefan, Nebraska
Stevenson, Wisconsin
Stratton, Illinois
Sumner, Illinois
Talle, Iowa
Thill, Wisconsin
Thomas, New Jersey
Tibbott, Pennsylvania
Tinkham, Massachusetts
Treadway, Massachusetts
Van Zandt, Pennsylvania
Vorys, Ohio
Welch, California
Wheat, Illinois
Wigglesworth, Massachusetts
Wilson, Indiana
Winter, Kansas
Wolcott, Michigan
Wolfenden, Pennsylvania
Wolverton, New Jersey
Woodruff, Michigan
Youngdahl, Minnesota

Farmer-Labor—1

Buckler, Minnesota

Progressives—3

Hull, Wisconsin
Gehrmann, Wisconsin
Sauthoff, Wisconsin

PAIRS

Kelberg, Democrat, Texas, for; and Johnson, Republican, California, against.

Smith, Democrat, Washington, for; and Secrest, Democrat, Ohio, against.

May, Democrat, Kentucky, for; and McArdle, Democrat of Pennsylvania, against.

Faddis, Democrat, Pennsylvania, for; and Mansfield, Democrat, Texas, against.

Vinson, Democrat, Georgia, for; and O'Day, Democrat, New York, against.

Imhoff, Democrat, Ohio, for; and Blackney, Republican, Michigan, against.

SUMMARY

Total for	**212**
Total against	**194**
Democrats for	**189**
Republicans for	**22**
American Labor for	**1**
Democrats against	**53**
Republicans against	**137**
Farmer-Labor against	**1**
Progressives against	**3**
Total voting	**406**
Paired	**12**
Position unrecorded (not voting)	**11**
Vacancies	**6**

Comment

Irish 'Committee' No Friend of Ireland

LEST SOME of our more trusting Americans of Irish descent be misled, we wish to inform them that the American-Irish Defense Committee, an organization propagandizing for support of the Administration's war program, is no more representative of Irish opinion than is Mr. Winston Churchill!

Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana recently exposed the group as a tool of British and administration war-mongers, organized in the office of the Government's Co-ordinator of Information at the instance of British propagandists.

Previously, it had been denounced as anti-Irish by representatives of 129 Irish societies meeting in New York City.

Reading what he said were the minutes of the meeting of October 8 in the Office of the Co-ordinator of Information, Mr. Wheeler disclosed that the organizers of the "Irish" committee were Government officials and employes, some with quite non-Irish names. Among those present, according to Senator Wheeler, were Lieutenant Barry Bingham, war-mongering son of the late American ambassador to Great Britain, and at present an official of the Office of Civilian Defense; Professor William Elliott of Harvard and the Office of Production Management; Thaddeus H. Brown, former member of the Federal Communications Commission; Douglas Miller, author of *"You Can't Do Business With Hitler,"* and currently connected with the Office of the Co-ordinator of Information, which is headed by the traveling war salesman, Col. William J. (Wild Bill) Donovan. Col. Donovan was absent from the meeting.

The organization announced that efforts would be made to secure the signatures of 100,000 Irish-Americans to a petition pledging support of Mr. Roosevelt's war policies.

Rossa F. Downing, national chairman, said the group's slogan will be: *"You Can Count on the Irish, Mr. President."*

Yes, Mr. President, you can count on the Irish to oppose any efforts of this group to drag either America or Ireland into Britain's war!

Among the points emphasized in the synthetic Irish organization's pledge is "utmost co-operation between Eire and the United States." The group's general motives being what they are, namely to promote war, it can readily be seen how the occupation of Irish bases by the United States is one of their objectives.

The British have long wanted to seize Irish ports and have tried to use American pressure to break down Irish resistance to their scheme. Perhaps, this new Administration-sponsored "Irish" organization is the forerunner of some concerted campaign to justify American seizure of Ireland by force.

At any rate delegates from 129 genuine Irish societies, meeting in New York recently, denounced the organization.

Calling attention to the fact that the government and the people of Ireland are committed to a policy of strict neutrality, and are determined to avoid participation, in Britain's war, the Irish Americans adopted a resolution charging that the objectives of the "American-Irish Defense Committee" are:

1. To disrupt the cordial relations which have always existed between the governments and the peoples of the United States and Ireland.

2. To smear all the Irish societies in the United States that have pledged their support to the preservation of Irish neutrality.

3. To propagandize our national Administration to invade Ireland for the purpose of occupying jointly with Britain certain ports and bases, thus inviting death and destruction to the people and their possessions.

Emphasizing further that those who advocate the American occupation or seizure of Irish ports do so in direct contradiction to the will of the Irish people and, therefore, cannot be accepted as reflecting Irish opinion, the resolution quoted Mr. De Valera, who said in a speech at Dublin, October 5, 1941:

"Regarding the Irish who claim to be wiser or more patriotic than the rest of us, I will only say that whoever brings a foreign power in here, in defiance of the wishes of the vast majority of our people, will have his name execrated by the Irish people. The Irish people want neither an old master nor a new one!"

Msgr. Ryan's Thanksgiving

THE NOVEMBER issue of *Opinion*—"a Journal of Jewish Life and Letters"—is given over as a memorial issue to the late Louis D. Brandeis, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court.

Among tributes from prominent men is one by Monsignor John A. Ryan, who is listed on page 11 of *Opinion* as "Director National Catholic Welfare Conference."

In the course of Monsignor Ryan's eulogy to Justice Brandeis, he says:

"For the last fifteen, or possibly twenty years, I was a dinner guest of Justice and Mrs. Brandeis on Thanksgiving Day. This rare privilege gave me an unusual opportunity to know and appreciate his great qualities of heart and mind. Hence, the many eulogistic statements that I have read concerning him since his death impress me as illustrations and confirmations of what I learned about Justice Brandeis on the occasions of those Thanksgiving dinners."

Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE will be content to wait for a few more national figures, high in the esoteric world, to die so we may learn where the Monsignor dines on Christmas and Easter.

Confidentially, It Reeks!

CHALK up another "boner" for the Roosevelt Administration in its handling of the Argentine beef situation.

As we remember the New Dealers, in furthering our Good Neighbor policy toward South American nations, lowered import duties on Argentine canned beef, arguing that this product was superior in quality to that produced in the United States.

How "superior" it actually is was demonstrated recently in San Francisco when a consignment of 434,000 pounds was refused by the United States Army and returned to the contractor "because the meat had spoiled and caused its containers to burst."

Let's Take Stock of Ourselves—

WE AMERICANS are queer people. We've been riding along for quite some time on our inflated ego.

It is tradition—that in our own minds we have branded all other peoples with certain stigmas and have gloated over the fact that we are immunized against such peculiarities.

We sneer at the English because they were born without the important sense of humor. We scoff at the Germans because they are "bull headed." Scotchmen are supposed to have numerous entries in their bank books because they are taught "penny stretching" as soon as they leave the cradle. We label the Finns "Herring Snatchers" because of their diet. To us the French are "blase" and have a "degraded culture." We shy from the Italians because someone told us long ago that the tailors fit each suit with a vest pocket stiletto. As for the Irish—they're still sitting on their three-legged stools basking in the memory of their visiting and kissing the Blarney Stone!

And yet—we Americans are a composite of all of these and have multitudes of idiosyncrasies of our own. We're so slap-happy about everything while things are temporarily booming. We're such "free thinkers" that we believe nothing can deter the course. We won't rise in defense of a principle until one of our liberties is taken from us.

We're mob followers. A smart "barker" can get us to part with a quarter in two seconds to see the "bearded lady, inside" and yet we'd hesitate to spend ten cents on giving a copy of SOCIAL JUSTICE to our next door neighbor, because we fear it may not be the type of reading it is his habit to follow.

Of course it isn't!

It's your job to help educate him. It's your job to buy an extra copy each week from one of our distributors and give to some acquaintance, not familiar with SOCIAL JUSTICE.

It's high time we took stock of ourselves as a people and took inventory to see how many of our liberties or assets are in jeopardy.

It's high time each reader took it upon himself to be a crusader and get one new reader each week.

Let's step along in "double-quick" time while we still have the green light.

A Jewish Chronology

SOCIAL JUSTICE herewith presents the second installment of the chronology of the Jews from the "*Jewish Encyclopedia*" (Vol. IV, page 71), which began on these pages last week. A third installment will be printed next week.

C.E.

1350 Alfonso IV of Portugal enforces the badge (first in the Peninsula).

1351 Cortes of Valladolid demands the abolition of the judicial autonomy of Spanish-Jewish communities. Jews burned at Konigsberg in Neumark.

1353 Jews invited back to Worms on account of their usefulness.

1360 (Nov.) Samuel Abulafia dies under torture on the charge of peculation. Manessier de Vesoul obtains from King John a decree permitting Jews to dwell in France.

1365 Jews expelled by Louis the Great from Hungary; many go to Wallachia.

1370 All Jews imprisoned and robbed in Austria.

1380 (Nov. 16) Riot at Paris; many Jews plundered, several killed, most fled.

1381 A synod at Mayence regulates the rabbinical marriage laws.

1387 Jews expelled from Basel.

1389 (Apr. 18) The charge of insult to a priest carrying the sacrament leads to the massacre of the Jews in Prague.

1391 (June 6) Spanish horrors begin; Ferdinand Martinez incites the mob against the Jews of Seville; anti-Jewish riots spread throughout Castile and Aragon.

1394 (Nov. 3) Third and last expulsion of the Jews from France, under Charles VI.

1400 Persecution of the Jews of Prague at the instigation of the convert Pessach; Lipmann of Muhlhausen among the sufferers.

1403 (Oct. 25) Juan II of Castile withdraws civil jurisdiction from Jews.

1405 Jews expelled from Speyer.

1407 (Oct. 26) Jews attacked at Cracow.

1410 (Sept.) Meir Alguades slain on charge of host-desecration.

1411 Vincent Ferrer raises the populace against the Jews. Second general massacre of Jews in all the Spanish provinces.

1413 (Jan. 7) Religious disputation at Tortosa arranged by Pope Benedict XIII between Geronimo de Santa Fe and Vidal ben Benveniste ibn Labi and Joseph Albo.

1415 (May 11) Bull of Benedict XIII against the Talmud and any Jewish book attacking Christianity.

1420 Charges of host-desecration lead to the putting to death of a number of Jews and to the expulsion of the remainder from Lower and Upper Austria.

C.E.

1423 Jews expelled from Cologne.

1424 Jews expelled from Zurich.

1432 Rabbinical synod at Valladolid. Host-tragedy at Segovia. A synod at Avila, under Abraham Benveniste Senior, provides for an educational system for Jewish Spain.

1434 The Council of Basel renews old and devises new canonical restrictions against Jews. Annihilation of the Jews of Majorca.

1435 Jews expelled from Speyer.

1438 Jews expelled from Mayence.

1440 Jews expelled from Augsburg.

1447 Casimir IV of Poland grants special privileges to Jews.

1450 Ludwig X of Bavaria throws all the Jews in forty towns into prison and confiscates their property.

1451 Nicholas de Cusa enforces the wearing of the Jew badge in Germany.

1454 (May 2) Forty-one Jews burned at Breslau, and Jews expelled from Brunn and Olmutz, through Capistrano.

1458 Jews expelled from Erfurt.

1460 (March 5) The States of Austria demand that no Jew be permitted to dwell there. Jews expelled from Savoy.

1464 (Apr. 12) Jews plundered and murdered by soldiers in Cracow.

1467 Eighteen Jews burned at Nuremberg.

1468 Jews expelled from Neisse by the guilds. Blood accusation brought against Jews of Sepulveda.

1469 Jews plundered and slain at Posen.

1470 Jews expelled from bishopric of Mayence.

1475 Bernardinus of Feltre preaches against the Jews in Italy. The Jews charged with the murder of Simon of Trent for ritual purposes. Riots in Padua and elsewhere in Italy and Sicily.

1476 Blood accusation in Regensburg through the convert Wolfram.

1477 Jews plundered at Colmar and burned at Passau; the rest expelled through bishop.

1478 Jews expelled from diocese of Bamberg on account of Simon of Trent affair.

1481 The Inquisition against the Maranos established in Seville and at other places in Castile.

1482 Inquisition established in Aragon; Thomas de Torquemada, chief inquisitor.

1484 Jews expelled from Aries.

1486 (Feb. 12) Auto da fe at Toledo at which 740 were absolved. (Dec. 10) Another auto at same place; 900 Jews "reconciled."

C.E.

1488 (Jan. 25) First auto at Barcelona. (May 24 and July 30) Autos da fe at Toledo; at former, 21 Jews burned, 400 punished; at latter, 76 burned.

1490 (Dec.) Jews expelled from Geneva.

1492 (Aug. 2) Expulsion of the Jews from Spain.

1494 Jews plundered in Naples. Blood accusation at Tyrnau.

1495 Jews expelled from Florence, but readmitted after a few months on account of their utility; Jews expelled from Lithuania.

1496 Expulsion of Jews from Styria. Manoel of Portugal orders the Jews to accept baptism or leave the country.

1498 The exiles settled in Navarre banished. Jews expelled from Nuremberg and Ulm.

1501 (July) Fifty-four Jews burned at Seville.

1502 Appearance of the pseudo-Messiah Asher Lammlein.

1503 Pfefferkorn denounces Reuchlin. (March 22) Jews permitted to return to Lithuania. (Dec. 27) Judaizing followers of Zechariah of Klev burned at Moscow.

1505 Jews expelled from Orange. All slain at Budweis on a child-murder accusation.

1506 Jews settle in Pinsk and secure synagogues and cemetery. Massacre of 4,000 Maranos in Lisbon.

1508 (July 15) Royal decree issued expelling Jews from Portugal.

1510 Burning of Jewish books at Frankfort. Thirty-eight Jews burned in Berlin for host-desecration and child-murder (*Gratz, ix. 94*).

1516 (March) Venice sets apart a special quarter for a *ghetto* (first use of the term).

1524 The Jews of Cairo threatened with destruction by Ahmad Shaitan, viceroy of Egypt. Jews return to Genoa.

1529 (May 21) Thirty Jews burned at Posing on blood accusation. Solomon Molko (Dioga Pires, 1501-32) begins his Messianic agitation.

1530 (Aug. 12) Josel of Rosheim obtains extension of Alsatian privileges from Charles V.

1531 Clement VII issues a bull establishing the Portuguese Inquisition for Maranos.

1541 Jews expelled from Naples.

1542 Jews expelled from Bohemia because of fires in Prague and other towns.

1543 Luther publishes his attack on the Jews.

1548 (July 10) Eighteen hundred Maranos released from the prisons of the Inquisition in Portugal.

1550 (April 2) Jews banished from Genoa.

1551 Jews expelled from Bavaria and Wurtemberg.

1554 (June 21) Rabbinical synod at Ferrara.

(To Be Continued)

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

The Shooting Congress

BY A VOTE of 212 to 194 the House of Representatives officially declared the *Neutrality Act* dead.

Speaker Sam Rayburn certified the death certificate.

President Franklin D. Roosevelt will inter the corpse where rest many other New Deal casualties.

It was more than whispered that approximately a billion dollars in "slush fund" money was dangled before the eyes of certain hesitant persons. Not graft money – far be it from that. But just another sort of lend-lease money on the basis that we lend if you lease your soul!

To have sustained the *Neutrality Act* as written in 1939, namely, as an instrument to keep America out of war, was uppermost in the minds of members of the House until Mr. Roosevelt sent an eleventh hour letter to the waiverers, deserters, Americans, etc., etc., who make up the personnel of this body.

What a blow it would be to poor England, to poor Russia, should the House retain the *Neutrality Bill!*

That was the substance of the President's plea.

In his choicest un-American manner he forgot to tell the assembled members of Congress what a blow it would be to the Americans if the diabolical scuttling of the *Neutrality Act* received the questionable benediction of the 212 members who voted for its repeal.

We could quote you Franklin D. Roosevelt against Franklin D. Roosevelt until the cows come home; that is if there are any cows to come home, ten years hence.

The President voiced solid Americanism when he spoke of "fool's gold;" when he advocated the passage of the *Neutrality Act*; when he pledged the American people "Again and again" that he would not send their sons to foreign war; that he would do everything in his power to prevent war.

When we consider that these Roosevelt pledges were written on ice only to be melted by the pressure heat of orientals, we find Mr. President running true to form.

Within five weeks, American boats will be armed; American ships will ply their provocative ways into belligerent ports.

Within five weeks, American ships carrying contraband to Britain will go to the bottom of the Atlantic.

Within five weeks, the all-out propagandists of Washington will be shedding crocodile tears because Germany has attacked us.

There is not much left for the American citizens to do in this crisis. They can either weep at a wailing wall supplied by Lehman Brothers, or Morgan and Company; or they can take action a little more strenuous than the sort now confined to letter writing.

It is bad enough to prevision the death of thousands of American boys sent to fight a battle which Britain herself will not fight.

It is bad enough to play with the idea that we have a President in the White House who can't keep his word; that we have a Congress that can't help him to keep his word.

It is worse, however, to see the real American way of life torpedoed, submarined, mined, blasted and deformed by a group of Marxian Socialists who want to make this country the new haven where refugees are safe and real Americans are foreigners.

That is exactly what we are up against at the moment.

Elsewhere, in this issue (page 16) the names of the step-by-step war-mongering Congressmen are printed.

Frame their names for posterity. In your will, write a paragraph telling your children and grandchildren to incorporate the names of these new Tories in the litany of American saints and sinners.

These men and their leaders will be responsible before God for having done to America, through the instrument of a ballot, what nature, through the instrument of an eruption, did to Atlantis centuries ago.

How Your Senator and Congressman Voted on the Neutrality Act—See Page 16